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## Introduction-1

Integrated Performance Repren
The programme of work to develo and improve the content and format of the IPR continues. The aim of this work is to ensure that the IPR continues to improve in its provision of a meaningful context for the levels of performance beins achieved acrost the organisation. A more The programme of work to develop and improve the content and format of the IPR continues. The aim of this work is to ensure that the IPR continues to improve in its provision of a meaningfu context for the levels of performance be
structured and concise format gives a clearer and greater sense of assurance that areas of challenge are being identified and addressed efficiently and effectively, and that areas of good practice are being highlighted and learned from.

The development of the IPR is an iterative process which will continue over the course of 2023/24.The Performance Improvement \& Management Service (PIMS) remain responsive to feedback received from colleagues, the Board and the public with regard to the evolution of the conten and format of this report. Recent developments/amendments to the report include

- Key Performance Indicators (KPIS)
- KIMS continue to work with the Care Group leads within Manx Care, and the DHSC to review the KPIs and operational metrics and standards that are currently being used to monitor and manage the organisation's performance. This is to ensure that they are aligned with the requirements of Manx Care's Operating Plan, the DHSC's Mandate to Manx Care and Single Oversight Framework (SOF) and the government's 'Our Island Plan'. Nominated leads within the Care Groups havebeen identified to be responsible for the delivery of each KPI. Where existing reporting does not cover all of the requirements, PIMS are working with the Business Intelligence (BI) team and service area leads to develop the required measurement and reporting mechanisms and processes.
- Dental Dashboard

With the development of the Dental Dashboard, the IPR now includes additional metrics regarding allocations to dental practices

- Eyecare Transformation

With the ongoing development and implementation of the eyecare transformation workstream, additional metrics detailing the associated performance have been added to the report, with further additions planned over the coming months.

## Notes regarding the format of the IPR

- Red/Amber/Green (RAG) ratings for Reporting Month performance
- Red/Amber/Green (RAG) ratings for Reporting Month performanceAchieved performance is equal to, or exceeds the required standard.Achieved performance is $15 \%$ or less below the required standard.
Achieved performance is more than $15 \%$ below the required standard.
It should be noted that the RAG rating is only representative of the performance achieved in the current reporting month, and does not necessarily give the full picture in terms of an improving or worsening position. It should therefore be considered in conjunction with the Variation and Assurance indicators as described on the following page
Only KPIs and metrics with an associated standard/threshold have been RAG rated.


## - Alignment to CQC recognised domains

The key performance metrics are categorised and aligned to the following CQC recognised domains:
Safe - are our service users protected from abuse and avoidable harm.
Effective - does our care, treatment and support achieve good outcomes, help service users to maintain quality of life and is based on the best available evidence.
Caring - do staff involve and treat service users with compassion, kindness, dignity and respect.
Well Led - the leadership, management and governance of the organisation make sure it's providing high-quality care that's based around service users' individual needs, that it encourages learning and innovation, and that it promotes an open and fair culture.
To ensure that the holistic view of a Service Area's performance is not lost, future iterations of the report will also include a Performance Summary for each Service Area.

- Structured narrative

Supporting narratives for the performance indicators are structured in a consistent format. This sets out the detail of the issues and factors impacting on the performance, the planned remedial and mitigating actions that Manx Care is taking to address the issues, and the expected recovery timescales in which performance is expected to become compliant with the required standards (through the implementation of the remedial actions).

Issue -> Remedial Action $\rightarrow$ Recovery Trajectory

## Introduction-2

Data Validation and Automation
Data Vaidiation and Automation
t has been acknowledged that in current form, the compilation of the IPR (and the reporting of performance in geeneral) is an extremely manual process, pulling together data from a variety of un-validated reports and data sources without clear definitions of the purpose and value of
each key Performance Indicator (KPI).
The BI team have been working to re-develop, automate and validate the KPI reporting through the construct of datasets. This is a large task and involves spending time in and working with every service area within the department. The plan of works to develop an automated dataset for
each area has continued into $2023 / 24$.
As each new dataset is developed, new reporting will replace the current reporting and eventually ManxCare will have a fully automated report.
SIMS is working with the BI team to support the development of performance reporting in a format that aligns with the performance monitoring processes and requirements under the Performance \& Accountability Framework. This currently involves an interim reporting process requiring
Each domain summary sheet includes a 'B.I. Status' indicator which indicates which KPII/ datasets are still collated manually (or the automated data is still being validated with the service area), those indicators that have been validated and automated and those indicators where the Each domain summary sheet includes a B.I. Status indicator which indicates wh
automation work or other issue means that the data is temporarily unavailable:Data autormated and validated.
Data collated manually or automated data still being validated by service ares.
Data currentiy unavaileble or validation in initial stages only
In this context 'Validation' means that the input, methodology/calculation and outputs for a given metric have been checked by both the Business Intelligence Team and Care Group leads and confirmed to be in accordance with the corresponding technical specification for that KPI. This is to ensure that the performance for that item is being measured and reported accurately.
However, it is possible that unforeseen data quality issues may exist within the validated data. Manx Care has therefore impemented a Data Quality Working Group that will pro-actively look to identify and address any matters of quality or integrity within the data used for operational and eporting purposes.
Statistical Process Control (SPC) Charts
The report uses Statistical Process Control (SPC) charts to enable greater anallysis of trends and variation in performance. SC charts are used to measure changes in data over time, and help to overcome the limitations of Red -Amber-Green (RAG ratings) through the use of statistics to identify patterns and anomalies to distinguish changes worth investigating (Extreme values) from normal and expected variations in monthly performance.
This ensures a consistent approach to assessing both Variation and Assurance for achieved performance:


The process for assigning the categories to each KPI is currently a manual one, but PIMS are currently working with the BI tem to automate the process of generating the SPC charts and allocating the appropriate categories for Variation and Assurance.

## Benchmarking

order to measure Manx Care's performance against recognised best practice and the performance of other peer organisationswithin Health and Social Care, some initial benchmarks have been added to a number of the KPIs and metrics within the report. This benchmarking will enable Manx Care to identify internal opporftunities for improvement.

When making suth comparisons, tis is tiato ensure that the methodology used to carcuate Manx Care's perfor
Therefore, the benchmarks included in this month's report should be treated as indicative only until such time as the alignment of the methodologies used has been reconciled and confirmed.
Work toi identify appropriate peer organisations and metrics to benchmark Manx Care's performance against is ongoing, and currently many of the benchmark figures within this report use Manx Care's $2022 / 23$ performance as a baseline. Details of the benchmark methodologies applied
for each KPl and metric can be found within the Assurance / Recovery Trajectory' section of the supporting performance narrives For each KPI and metric can be found within the 'Assurance / Recovery Trajectory' section of the supporting performance narrtives.

## Executive Summary

|  | Going Well | Cause for Concern |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Safe | - 28 consecutive months without a Never Event. <br> - Lero Medication Error with Harm across Manx Care in November. <br> - Positive of Falls that resulted in Harm remain low and within the expected threshold. <br> - Positive achievement against Safety Thermometer for Adults, Maternity and Children. <br> hours wat of VTE prophylaxis exceeded the threshold with $99 \%$. VTE risk assessment within 12 <br> no cases of MRSA in November. <br> nior medical review of antibiotic prescription remains below the $98 \%$ threshold but | - 5 Sls were declared during November'23 but remains within annual threshold. <br> - 3 cases of C .Diff. 2 are community and 1 is hospital associated. <br> -There have been 11 cases of E.coli bacteraemia. 10 community associated, 1 hospital associated. |
| Effective | - $99 \%$ of Learning from Death reviews were completed within timescale which exceeds the target for the tenth month in a row. <br> -The Crisis Team performance increased in November, and they continue to meet the 1 hour <br> response time threshold for Emergency Department referrals. <br> - Adult Social Care re-referral rates remain within expected levels. <br> - The reported number of individuals receiving copies of their Wellbeing Partnership assessments <br> remained high in November, with the average monthly achievement now at $83 \%$. <br> - Nutrition and Hydration 7 days compliance remained high in November, with continued <br> achievement of the $95 \%$ threshold. <br> - $95.8 \%$ of MARFs were completed on time during November ( 23 out of 24 ). <br> - $100 \%$ of Child Protection Conferences, Looked After Children Reviews and Initial Child Protection <br> Conferences were held on time in November. | - Access to surgical bed base continues to challenge theatre efficiency and utilisation. <br> - Consultant anaesthetic staffing and theatre staffing position remains a challenge. |
| Caring | - Manx Care has consistently met gender appropriate accommodation standards in the year to date. <br> - MCALS is responding to a high proportion of queries within the same day ( $90 \%$ ). <br> - Service user satisfaction remains high with $91 \%$ of service users rating their experience as 'Very Good' or 'Good' using the Friends \& Family Test in month. <br> - Overall Manx Care compliance with the standard of complaints to be acknowledged within 5 days in November was $100 \%$. | - 27 complaints were logged in November, but this remains below the expected threshold. |
| Responsive | - Inpatient and Daycase waiting list numbers and waiting times remain below the baseline levels, primarily as a result of the Restoration \& Recovery activity for Orthopaedics, Ophthalmology and general surgical specialties. <br> - The 6 hour Average Total Time in Emergency Department standard continues to be achieved. - November has seen an improvement in Category 1 Ambulance response times despite an increase in 999 demand in the month. <br> - Good performance was maintained in the Ambulance service for Category 2-5 response times. <br> - Mental Health caseloads remain within expected levels. | - Outpatient waiting list has slightly decreased in December but remains above the baseline. <br> - The ED Performance against the 4 hour standard has slightly decreased in November but remains below the required target at $69.5 \%$. <br> - Emergency care demand remains high and the Emergency Department (ED) footprint does not meet the needs of the service (e.g. no CDU). Staffing has also impacted on KPI delivery but recruitment to all grades of doctor within ED and nurses is ongoing. <br> - There were 3012 -Hour Trolley Waits, comparing to 48 in the previous month. <br> - Access to routine diagnostics within 6 weeks and 26 weeks remains challenging due to increasing demand exceeding current capacity. However, additional diagnostic activity is being undertaken under the auspices of the restoration \& recovery programme. <br> - There were 22 breaches of the 60 minute ambulance turnaround time in November ( 24 in October). <br> - The ED reached the highest Operational Pressures Escalation Level (OPEL), Level 4 , in November for 1.5 days. <br> - Cancer 28 Day performance in November was below the $75 \%$ threshold at $65 \%$. This was due to unavoidable staffing pressures within the Breast service and Urology administration capacity. |
| Well Led (People) | -Although there were 8 Data Breaches in November, Manx Care staff across all specialisations continue to demonstrate their commitment to their GDPR responsibilities and engage well with the Information Governance team and their responsibilities to handling data safely and correctly. Manx Care staff engage in training and often when courses are arranged they are oversubscribed. Manx Care staff engage in training, and often when courses are arranged they are overs In those instances additional dates are arranged to ensure all staff are accommodated. - The trend of reduced rates of sickness absence, compared to previous years, evidenced in the first quarter $23 / 24$ has continued, with November's rate remaining below the previous year's mothly average of $7.7 \%$. An executive level review of sickness absence cases commenced in November ' 23 to ensure proactive management of absences by Care groups. | - The number of Subject Access Requests and Freedom of Information Requests, whilst varying from month to month, still maintains an upward trend and meeting the deadlines to issue responses continues to be challenging. The number of overdue Subject Access Requests at month end has remained fairly static, but unfortunately the number of overdue FOIs has increased. Additional staff resources are being allocated to address this. |
| Well Led <br> (Finance) |  | - The operational result for October is an overspend of ( $£ 1.4 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with costs reducing by $£ 0.3 \mathrm{~m}$ compared to the previous month. <br> - The forecast includes $£ 4.9 \mathrm{~m}$ of cost which is expected to be approved from the DHSC reserve fund which would reduce this to ( $£ 25.3 \mathrm{~m}$ ). <br> - YTD employee costs are ( $£ 3.4 \mathrm{~m}$ ) over budget. |




## Issues / Performance Summary

Serious Incidents:
In summary, 5 SIs were declared during the month:

- ID\&CS - declared 2 Serious Incidents in November. One relating to the delay in diagnosis of pituitary cancer and one relating to a processing error in the pathology lab.

Letter has been sent in accordance with Duty of Candour Regulations: - $100 \%$ compliance for November.

## Planned / Mitigation Actions

Serious Incidents:

- Continued reporting of all untoward incidents and review at SIRG meetings in accordance with embedded Incident Policy.

Letter has been sent in accordance with Duty of Candour Regulations: - Continue to monitor closely.

Assurance / Recovery Trajectory
Serious Incidents:

- The organisation has a positive reporting culture and confidence can be taken from compliance with robust internal processes.

Letter has been sent in accordance with Duty of Candour Regulations: - Expect performance to continue.
\% Adult Patients (general hospital) VTE prophylaxis prescribed:

> Manx Care


## Issues / Performance Summary

VTE risk assessment within 12 hours:

- $92.5 \%$, a drop from last month. YTD average is $89 \%$, again below target.

VTE Prophylaxis:

- Excellent performance identified - $99 \%$ reported for November, in excess of the target $95 \%$ and for the seventh consecutive month. YTD monthly


## Planned / Mritsation Actions

## VTE risk assessment within 12 hours

- Staff made aware to complete the assessment form on all in-patients.


## VTE Prophylaxis:

- Focus to remain on risk assessments.


## reshold YTD Mean <br> 97.1\% <br> 6.2\%

(Higher value represents better performance)
Variation Description Common cause

Assurance Description Inconsistently passing and falling short of target

## average stands at $97 \%$. <br> erage stands a

| 2.0 Falls Inpatient (Harm only) per 1,000 bed days: Manx Care | Reporting Date | Performance | Op. plan\# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nov-23 | 0.5 | QC4 |
| 1.5 |  |  |  |
| 1.0 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - |  | Threshold | YTD Mean | Benchmark |
|  | $<2$ | 0.4 | 0.3 |
|  | (Lower value represents better performance) |  |  |
| 0.0 , , | Variation Description |  |  |
| -0.5 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - | Common cause |  |  |
|  | Assurance Description |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Pressure Ulcers - Total incidence - Grade 2 and above | Reporting Date | Performance | Op. plan\#QC4 |
|  | Nov-23 | 17.0 |  |
| 35 |  |  |  |
|  | Threshold | YTD Mean | Benchmark |
|  | <= 17 (204 PA) | 18.0 | 14.1 |
| 25 | (Lower value represents better performance) |  |  |
|  | Variation Description |  |  |
|  | Common cause |  |  |
| $5 \square+2$ | Assurance Description |  |  |
| ---------- | Inconsistently passing and falling short of target |  |  |
| $1{ }^{3055}$ |  |  |  |

## Issues / Performance Summary

Inpatient Health Service Falls (with harm) per 1000 occupied bed days: - 7.9 per 1000 bed days. This remains above the target of 6.63 but is consistent with previous months and a slight drop from October
Medication Errors (with Harm)

- Zero errors with harm
Pressure Ulcer incidence:
new or having deteriorated under Manx Care services. Of the new of deteriorating ulcers 17 were cater 2 abo
Of the 17,6 were category 3,4 or unstageable - all of these occurred in the Of the 17,6 were category, 4 or unstageable - all of these occurred in the these community incidents, in particular prolonged sitting periods against documented nursing advice. Two of these patients were also receiving EOL care.


## Planned / Mitigation Actions

## harm) per 1000 occupied bed days:

Continue with risk reduction activity to minimise harm.

## Medication Errors (with Harm)

- Exposure to harm from medication errors remains low. Continue high vigilance and monitoring to ensure continued low exposure.

Pressure Ulcer incidence:
Continued implementation of preventative measures and monitoring.

Assurance / Recovery Trajectory
Inpatient Health Service Falls (with harm) per 1000 occupied bed days:
The staffing situation, which is understood to be a factor in preventing falls, remains a challenge. Until this is resolved it is likely that the target will not be met.

Medication Errors (with Harm):

- Reasonable assurance that errors leading to harm will remain low, with 3 incidents reported YTD.

Pressure Ulcer incidence:
The overall number of PUS this month is higher both for present on admission and new or deteriorating incidents. Whilst there was a high number of category 3 and above incidents in community these represent complex clinical/ environmental circumstances. There was documented evidence of preventative interventions and multi-agency working where applicable. There were no identified incidents of category 3 or above in the acute or social care settings.

Note - Benchmarks are the Manx Care monthly averages for 2022/23.




| Issues / Performance Summary | Planned / Mitigation Actions | Assurance / Recovery Trajectory |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C.Diff: <br> - 3 cases. 2 are community and 1 is hospital associated. | C.Diff: <br> - Antimicrobial prescribing was deemed appropriate. RCA has been completed for the hospital associated case with appropriate treatment and no other learning outcomes. | C.Diff: <br> - The CDI Safety Management plan actions are progressing with no escalation. Surveillance maintained with RCA to support learning. |
| E.Coli: <br> - 10 community associated, 1 hospital associated. Sources Biliary, comorbidities (including Oncology and chest infections) and urine. 2 patients had a catheter in situ and a UTI. | E.Coli: <br> - Drive the urinary catheter project forward. Continue surveillance and RCA process for hospital associated cases. | E.Coli: <br> - Further work undertaken to implement Catheter Care Pathways using the HOUDINI principle of 'make that urinary catheter disappear' if it's not required. |
| MRSA: <br> - Zero cases reported | MRSA: <br> - Not action required | MRSA: <br> - Maintain surveillance. |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa: <br> - Zero reported | Pseudomonas aeruginosa: <br> - No action required. | Pseudomonas aeruginosa: <br> - Maintain surveillance. |
|  |  | Note - Benchmarks are the Manx Care monthly averages for 2022/23. |





| Reporting Date <br> Nov-23 | Performance | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Op. plan } \\ & \text { QC123 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 88.0\% |  |
| Threshold | YTD Mean | Benchmar |
| >= 98\% | 78.9\% | 67.4\% |
| (Higher value represents better performance) |  |  |
| Variation Description |  |  | p. plan \#

QC123

## Issues / Performance Summary

## Hand Hygiene:

- $97 \%$ which is compliant with target of above $95 \%$.

Review of Antibiotic Prescribing: - $88 \%$ up from $82 \%$

Planned/Mitigation Actions

## Hand Hygiene:

No action required.
Review of Antibiotic Prescribing:

- Continue to monitor

Assurance / Recovery Trajectory

## Hand Hygiene:

Continue to monitor.
Review of Antibiotic Prescribing:

- AMS ward rounds - consultant microbiologist reviewing all prescriptions

Note - Benchmarks are the Manx Care monthly averages for 2022/23.

| KPIID | B.. Staus | Kpl Descripition | Latest Date | r.a.c. | value | Mean | YTD | Theseshold | Variation | Assurance | Kpllo | B.. staus | Kpl Descripition | Latest Date | R.A.G. | Value | Mean | Yт | Threshold | Varataion | Assuranc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EF001 | $\bigcirc$ | Planned Care - DNA Rate (Consultant Led outpatient appointments) | Nov-23 | $\bigcirc$ | 13\% | 12\% | - | $\begin{gathered} 5 \% \text { by Apr } \\ \text { '24 } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | EF065 | $0$ | MH - Number of patients aged 18-64 with a length of stay -> 60 days | Nov-23 |  | 1 | 2 | 14 | - | ( $n$ ) |  |
| ${ }^{\text {EFO67 }}$ | $\bigcirc$ | Planned Care- DNA Rate - Hospital | Nov-23 | $\bigcirc$ | 11.0\% | - | - | 5\% |  |  | ${ }^{\text {EFO66 }}$ | $\bigcirc$ | MH - Number of patients aged $65+$ with a length of stay $>90$ days | Nov-23 |  | 1 | 1 | 8 | - | (*) |  |
| EF002 | $\bigcirc$ | Planned Care - Total Number of Cancelled Operations | Nov. 23 | $\bigcirc$ | 348 | 319 | 2555 | - | (n) |  | EF013 | $\bigcirc$ | MH - \% service users discharged from MH inpatient to have follow up appointment | Nov-23 | $\bigcirc$ | 100.0\% | 9\% | - | 90\% | (*) | (p) |
| EF005 | $\bigcirc$ | Length of Stay (LOS) - No. patients with LOS greater than 21 days | Nov-23 |  | ${ }^{81}$ | 109 |  |  | (n) |  | ${ }^{\text {EF047 }}$ | $\bigcirc$ | \% Patients admitted to physical health wards requiring a Mental Health assessment, seen within 24 hours | oct-23 | $\bigcirc$ | 100\% | 100\% | - | 75\% | (*) | (P) |
| Efoso | $\bigcirc$ | Total Number of flpatient discharges-Nobles | Nov 23 |  | 995 | 927 | 7413 |  |  |  | Efo48 | $\bigcirc$ | \% Patients with a first episode of psychosis treated with a NICE recommended care package within two weeks of referral | oct-23 |  | - | 80\% | - | 75\% | (*) | ? |
| Efo51 | $\bigcirc$ | Total Number of inpatient discharges.RDCH | Nov 23 |  | ${ }^{38}$ | 76 | 296 |  |  |  | EF026 | $\bigcirc$ | MH-Crisis Team one hour response to efefral from ED | Nov 23 | $\bigcirc$ | 90\% | 91\% | - | 75\% | (a) | (冓) |
| EF003 | O | Theatres - Number of Cancelled Operations on Day | Nov-23 | $\bigcirc$ | 31 | 37 | 292 |  | (s) |  | EF063 | $\bigcirc$ | S- - No. of referals | Nov. 23 |  | 74 | 75 | 598 |  | (*) |  |
| EF004 |  | Theates - Theatre utilisation | Nov-23 | $\bigcirc$ | 76\% | 77\% |  | 85\% | (a) | (f) | EF015 | $\bigcirc$ | ASC-\%of Re-efefrals | Nov.23 | $\bigcirc$ | 4\% | 3\% | . | <15\% | (1) | (2) |
| EF006 | $\bigcirc$ | Crue Moratily Rate | Nov. 23 |  | 0 | 23 | 271 |  |  |  | EF016 | $\bigcirc$ | ASC - \% of all Adult Community Care Assessments completed in Agreed Timescales | 23 |  | 30\% | 34\% | . | 80\% | (t) | (F) |
| EF007 | - | Total Hospital Deaths | Nov. 23 |  | 27 | ${ }^{23}$ | 279 |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {EF017 }}$ | $\bigcirc$ | ASC - \% of individuals (or carers) receiving a copy of their Adult Community Care Assessment | Noor-23 | $\bigcirc$ | 96\% | 83\% | - | 100\% | (*) | ? |
| Efo24 | $\bigcirc$ | Mortality - Hospitals LFD (Learning from Death reviews) | Nov-23 | $\bigcirc$ | 99\% | 97\% |  | 80\% | (120) | (ค) | EF052 |  | Referrals to Adult sfegegurding Team | Nov-23 |  | 117 | 99 | 793 |  |  |  |
| EF225 | $\bigcirc$ | Nutrition and Hydration - complete at 7 days (Acute Hospitals and Mental Health) | Nov-23 | - | 95\% | 96\% |  | 95\% | (120) | (2) | Efo53 |  | Adult safeguarding Alet | Nov-23 |  | 72 | 60 | 477 |  |  |  |
| Ef008 | O | ASC -West Wellbeing Contribution to reduction in ED attendance | Nov-23 | $\bigcirc$ | 6\% | 8\% |  | -5\% | (n) | (2) | Efo54 | $\bigcirc$ | Discharges from Adult Safeguarding Team | Nov23 |  | 89 | 95 | 762 | - | (*) |  |
| Efoos | O | ASC - West Wellbeing Reduction in admission to hospital from locality | Nov-23 |  | 67\% | -1\% |  | 10\% | (*) | (2) | ${ }^{\text {F0055 }}$ |  | Re-eferrals to duut sfategarding Team | Nov-23 |  | 19 | 20 | 156 |  | (n) |  |
| EF90 | $\bigcirc$ | IPCC - \% Dental contractors on target to meet UDA's | Nov-23 | $\bigcirc$ | 53, | - |  | 96\% |  | (5) | 056 | $\bigcirc$ | \% MARFs Sompleted by Adult Staguarding Team | Nov. 23 |  | 96\% | 83\% | - |  | (n) |  |
| Ef011 | $\bigcirc$ | MH-Average length of Stay (LOS) in MH A cute | Nor-23 |  | 39.0 | 35.6 |  |  | (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Effective Performance Summary (page 2 of 2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KPIID | B.. Status | KPl Description | Latest Date | R.A.G. | Value | Mean | YTD | Threshold V | Variation | Assurance | KPIID | B.. Status | KPI Description | Latest Date | R.A.G. | Value | Mean | צтD | Threshold | Variation | Assurance |
| Efo49 | $\bigcirc$ | C\&F-Number of referrals - Children \& Families | Nov-23 |  | 199 | 149 | 1194 | - | (sin) |  | EF038 | ) | Maternity - \% of Women Smoking At Time of Delivery | Nov-23 | $\bigcirc$ | 12\% | 7.8\% | - | < 18\% | (s) | (2) |
| EF019 | $\bigcirc$ | CFSC - \% Complex Needs Reviews held on time | Nov-23 |  | 44\% | 62\% | - | 85\% | (*) | (2) | EF039 | ) | Maternity - First Feed Breast Milk (Initation Rate) | Nov-23 |  | 71\% | 69.4\% | - | >80\% | (sin) | (F) |
| EF021 | $\bigcirc$ | CFSC - \% Total Initial Child Protection Conferences held on time | Nov-23 |  | 100\% | 80\% | - | 90\% | (*) | (2) | EFO40 | $\bigcirc$ | Maternity - Breast Feeding Rate At Transer Home | Nov-23 |  | 76\% | - | - |  | ( $\sim$ |  |
| EF022 |  | CFSC - \% Child Protection Reviews held on time | Nov-23 |  | 100\% | 80\% | - | 90\% | (*) | (2) | EF041 | ) | Maternity - Neonatal Mortaily rate/1000 | Nov-23 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | - |  | (-) |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Ef023 }}$ | ) | CFSC - \% Looked After Children reviews held on time | Nov-23 |  | 100\% | 96\% | - | 90\% | (s) | (3) | EFO59 |  | W\&C- Paediatrics- Total Admissions | Nov-23 |  | 197 | 143 | 859 | - | (8) |  |
| EFO44 | O | C\&F -Children (of age) participating in, or contributing to, their Child Protection review | Nov-23 | $0$ | 100\% | 87\% | - | 90\% | (*) | (2) | EF060 | ) | W\&C- NNU - Total number of Admisioions | Nov-23 |  | 5 | 7 | 55 | - | ( $\times$ ) |  |
| EF045 | O | C\&F -Children (of age) participating in, or contributing to, their Looked After Child review | Nov-23 | $\bigcirc$ | 100\% | 99\% | - | 90\% | H- | (3) | EF061 | $0$ | W\&C- nnu - Avg Length of Stay | Nov-23 |  | 21 | 8 | 48 | - | (r) |  |
| EF046 | ○ | C\&F -Children (of age) participating in, or contributing to, their Complex Review | Nov-23 |  | 71\% | 49\% | - | 79\% | (1) | (2) | EF062 | $0$ | W\&C- nnu - Community follow up | Nov-23 |  | 9 | 4 | 33 | - | (8) |  |
| EF030 | $0$ | Maternity - Caesarean Deliveries (not Robson Classified) | Nov-23 |  | 43\% | 42.27\% | - | - | (a) |  | EF068 | $\bigcirc$ | Pharmacy - Total Prescriptions (No. of fees) | Oct-23 |  | £137,848 | £140,198 | £981,383 | - |  |  |
| EF031 | ) | Maternity - Induction of Labour | Nov-23 |  | 40\% | 31.01\% | - | <30\% | (*) | (2) | EF069 |  | Pharmacy - Chargale Prescriptions | Sep-23 |  | £22,055 | £18,525 | £129,673 | - |  |  |
| EF032 | $0$ | Maternity - 3rd/4th Degree Tear Overall Rate | Nov-23 |  | 0\% | 0.50\% | - | <3.5\% | (8) | (P) | EF070 |  | Pharmacy - Total Exempt tem | Sep-23 |  | £155,968 | £138,107 | £966,748 | - |  |  |
| EF033 | ) | Materenty - Obstetric Haemorrhage > $\mathbf{1} .5 \mathrm{~L}$ | Nov-23 |  | 0\% | 0.50\% | - | <2.6\% | (1) | 2 | EF071 | $\bigcirc$ | Pharmacy - Chargeable ltems | Sep-23 |  | £21,924 | £18,397 | £128,781 | - |  |  |
| EF034 | $5$ | Maternity - Unplanned Term Admissions To NNU | Nov-23 | - | 40\% | - | - | - | (8) |  | EF072 | $D$ | Pharmay - Net cost | Sep-23 |  | £1,643,309 | £1,449,070 | £10,143,492 | - | ( |  |
| EF035 | ) | Maternity - Stillibrth Number / Rate | Nov-23 |  | 0 | 0.125 | 1.0 | <4.4/1000 | (*) | 3 | EF073 | , | Pharmay - Charges Collected | Sep-23 |  | £84,646 | ¢71,019 | £497,134 | - | (8) |  |
| EF036 |  | Maternity - Unplanned Admission To ITU Level 3 Care | Nov-23 | . | 0 | - | - | - |  |  | EF081 | $0$ | IPCC - Dental - Additions | Nov-23 |  | 206 | 180 | 1,439 | - |  |  |
| EF037 | $\bigcirc$ | Materity - \% Smoking At Booking | Nov-23 | - | 12\% | 8.4\% | - |  | (s) |  | EF082 |  | IPCC - Dental - Allocations | Nov-23 |  | 105 | 62 | 369 | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | EF086 |  | IPCC - Number of Sight Test | Jul-23 |  | 2237 | 2,335 | 9,339 | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | EF074 |  | Total Number of OP \& Dementia Beds Available | Nov-23 |  | 195 | 195 | - | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | EF075 |  | Total Number of OP \& Dementia Beds Occupied | Nov-23 |  | 65 | 108 | - | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | EF076 |  | Total Number of LD Beds Available | Nov-23 |  | 85 | 83 | - | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | EF077 | $\bigcirc$ | Total Number of LD Beds Occupied | Nov-23 |  | 69 | 70 | - | - |  |  |




Theatres - \% Theatre Utilisation

 50\% 40\%


Reporting Date Nov-23

Threshold
85.0\% YTD Mea
(Higher value represents better performance)



(Lower value represents better performance)

+ Variation Description
Common cause


## Assurance Description

Reporting Date
Nov-23
Performance
-
Op. Plan \#
QC15

YTD Mean
Benchmark
(Lower value represents better performance)

| Variation Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| Assurance Description |

## Issues / Performance Summary

## Theatre Utilisation:

- The number of theatre sessions delivered in November was (85) -The number of cancelled operations on the day decreased to 31 . November. Most common reason was "Unfit for Surgery-Acute illness" and "Ward Beds Unavailable"
- Access to surgical bed base continues to challenge theatre efficiency and utilisation which is resultant in late start to operating lists whilst beds are sourced for elective inpatients, on the day cancellation of patients or entire elective list cancellations. Ultimately these issues are increasing the surgical speciality waiting lists.
- Consultant anaesthetic staffing and theatre staffing position remains a challenge and will do so for some time. This will represent a significant cost pressure for the care group for the remainder of this financial year
eporting Date
Nov-23

Threshold
(Higher value represents better performance)
$+\quad$ Variation Description
Op. Plan
forman
85

YTD Mean

Theatres - Cancelled Operations on Day by sourc


$\rightarrow$ Clinical $\quad \rightarrow$ Non Clinical - Patient
Non Clinical - Hospitad

- Linear (Non Clinical - Hospital

Planned / Mitigation Actions

- Increasing throughput through Day Procedures Suite by using it to start the perioperative surgical journey for the first patient on each operating list to facilitate starting the operating lis surgical journey for the first patient on each operating list to facilitate starting the operating lis heing supported with Synaptik nursing teams on ward 12 where beds are ring fenced to designated specialties.
- Planning is progressing with regard to an admissions lounge where all surgical patients will admitted, prepared for theatre and returned to a surgical ward post operatively. This will provide time for Bed Flow \& Capacity team to source a bed without delaying the start to operating sessions, reduce the need to cancel and increase theatre efficiency \& tilisation - Synaptik continues to support the Restoration \& Recovery (R\&R) waiting list initiatives for orthopaedic and general surgical specialties through the provision of theatre teams, surgeons \& anaesthetists to undertake the surgical activity. Recruitment remains in progress for substantive staff to sustain the BAU activity in theatres.

Assurance / Recovery Trajectory

- Manx Care commenced a Theatre Improvement Programme in April 2021 with an initial visit in September 2021, where it was noted that there was evidence of good practice and adherence to the AfPP standards, but also areas where improvements could be made. The Association returned in September 2022, when it was found that all recommendations were met and they were pleased to recommend accreditation of Manx Care's theatres for two years. A peer review was undertaken in September and provided assurance that standards were continuing to be met. AfPP were also engaged to perform a Staffing Establishment Review to confirm accurate staffing \& skill mix to safely deliver 4-7 theatres (inclusive of maternity theatre) which was conducted in October, resuts to be published December.
- The implementation of a surgical admissions lounge which is in the project stages.
- Synaptic support is anticipated to continue until March 2024 under Phase 2 of the R\&R programme - Reinforced 48 Hour call out pathway with the rebooking of short notice cancellations into slots where patient has cancelled.
- Exploration of Red to Green Criteria led discharge and assertive in-reach - The Theatre team are undertaking monthly deep dive analysis of reasons/causes of hospital led cancellations on the day which is reported monthly through the CG1 Governance Structure.

Note
Benchmarks are the Manx Care monthly average for 2022/23.




Mortality by age band: 1/4/2021 to 30/11/2023
Reporting Date

age range
Variation Description

- 2021 ■ 2022 - 2023


## Issues / Performance Summary

Planned / Mitigation Actions
Hospitals LFD (Learning from Death) Reviews:

- The current approach appears successful.
- $99 \%$ reported. The target continues to be exceeded, as it has every month since February 2023.

Assurance / Recovery Trajectory
Hospitals LFD (Learning from Death) Reviews:

- There is reasonable confidence that the challenges experienced last reporting year have been overcome and significant progress has been made.

Note
Benchmarks are the Manx Care monthly average for 2022/23


West Wellbeing service contribution to reduction in ED


West Wellbeing service reduction in admission to hospital Nov-23

## Threshold

YTD Mean Benchmark
-5.0\% 8.1\% 3.8\%
(Lower value represents better performance)
Variation Description
Common cause
Assurance Description Assurance Description

## Issues / Performance Summary

## Wellbeing Services:

- The goal of integrated care is to reduce reliance on ED in the long term. Attendance will naturally fluctuate throughout the year due to seasonal variation.
- Significant Covid impact where ED attendances artificially lower for that period, as people were discouraged from attending ED. Also an increase in admissions across the Isle of Man, as patients' conditions during that period were not being addressed in as timely a manner and have become more acute.
- Patients may be attending A\&E due to capacity in community services, e.g. dementia patient unable to access Community Occupational Therapy services, falling and attending $A \& E$
- Concern re: metric with data collected on short term basis (6 months), and difficulty in evidencing the direct contribution of the service on ED and Hospital attendance as there are many factors contributing to the demand for those services the Wellbeing service.


## Planned / Mitigation Actions

## Wellbeing Services:

- The service is raising awareness regarding the impact the lack of capacity in community services has on ED.
- New frailty service identifyyng patients at an earlier stage.
- Targeting of nursing homes specifically for falls.


## Assurance / Recovery Trajectory

## Wellbeing Services:

- The service will look to refer more patients to third sector services, e.g. respite services as
appropriate.
- Technical specification of these metrics have been reviewed. Will move to a 12 month timescale to ensure a more appropriate indication of the service's performance, and to better evidence the direct impact of the Wellbeing service on ED and hospital demand.
- The Ple better reflect and evaluate the performance and impact of the Wellbeing Partnerships.
- Impact of frailty service is being reviewed

Note -
Nenchmarks are the Manx Care monthly averages for 2022/23.

Issues / Performance Summary
Dental Contractors:
1 contractor returned their contract to Manx Care in November. This became a
salaried practice es of st te eecember and work continues to ensure the smooth
transition of patient care.

## Planned / Mitieation Actions

## Dental Contractors:

- The majority of contractors are on target of $30 \%$ deliver for mid-year. Mid-year reviews are currently being undertaken and up date will be provided in due cours.


## Assurance / Recovery Trajectory

## Dental Contractors:

- Contractors who are not on target to deliver their contract may have their contract reduced in year any under-achievements above $96 \%$ will be paid back in full to Manx Care at year and a discussion will then be had with contractors in relation to reviewing their UDA target for the following financial year.


## Note

Benchmarks are the Manx Care monthly averages for 2022/23.









## issues / Performance Summary

-The number of alerts received continues to be high and increasing. The team can demonstrate a $30 \%$ increase in alerts when comparing 2022 to 2023 (to date).

- Currently the Adult Safeguarding Team is depleted. The Team Manager is new to post and is in a 4 -month secondment. A Senior Practitioner (als a 4 -month secondment) will be in post early in 2024 . There is an existing
vacancy for a safeguarding officer (social worker) and a further vacancy is about to exist owing to the resignation of a further safeguarding officer. The recruitment of permanent staff is underway but may not prove fruitfuu. - Discharges are likely to vary significantly month to month as each safeguarding alert must be processed individually, with some being discharged rapidly and others taking longer period of time (sometimes several months), owing to complexity and levels of risk.
- Re-referral rates fluctuate somewhat but are broadly consistent across an as would be anticipated e.g., resident on resident physical abuse recurrin and necessitating multiple referrals.
- MARFs are a means by which the police share concerns. These are
appropriate but do not always meet thresholds for action to be taken by the adult safeguarding team.
- Making Safeguarding Personal (MSP) assessments are not captured in the timescale is usually $100 \%$.

Planned / Mitigation Actions

- Referrals and ASG alerts methodology will be discussed with the B.I team. A Business Case for additional staffing resources is in the process of being devised.

The safeguarding team is typically meeting its timescales for taking appropriate action e.g., convening planning meetings. Where there are delays these are occasional and usually at the request of the person tt risk of harm

Note
Benchmarks are the Manx Care monthly averages for 2022/23.



Effective Social Work (Children \& Families) 2 of 3

Executive Lead
David Hamilton
Lead

| Reporting Date | Performance | Op. Plan \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nov-23 | 43.5\% | QC49 |
| Threshold | YTD Mean | Benchmark |
| 85.0\% | 61.6\% | 53.4\% |
| (Higher value represents better performance) |  |  |
| Variation Description |  |  |
| Common cause |  |  |
| Assurance Description |  |  |



| Reporting Date <br> Nov-23 | Performance <br> 100.0\% | Op. Plan <br> QC52 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |



| Reporting Date Nov-23 | Performance | Op. Plan \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 100.0\% | QC51 |
| Threshold | YTD Mean | Benchmark |
| 90.0\% | 80.2\% | 81.3\% |
| (Higher value represents better performance) |  |  |
| + | Variation Description |  |
| Common cause |  |  |
| A | Description |  |


| Reporting Date | Performance | Op. Plan\# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nov-23 | 100.0\% | QC53 |
| Threshold | YTD Mean | Benchmark |
| 90.0\% | 96.1\% | 92.5\% |
| (Higher value represents better performance) |  |  |
| Variation Description |  |  |
| Common cause |  |  |
| Assurance Description |  |  |

## issues / Performance Summary

## Complex Needs Reviews held on time:

- 23 Reviews held and 10 were in timescale and 13 were out of timescale Reasons for delayed meetings:
Family Unavailable - 5
Relevant Professional/Agency Unavailable - 5
Chairperson Unavailable - 2
Non-quorate - 1


## Initial Child Protection Conferences held on time

- 4 meetings were due and 4 were held in time

Child Protection Review Conferences held on time: - 27 RCPC's were held and 27 were on time

Looked After Children reviews held on time: - $\mathbf{L}$. $00 \%$ of reviews were held within the timescales in November.

## Planned / Mitigation Actions

The Complex Needs Reviews are undertaken by the Children with Disabilities Team, the CWD has 107 children shared between 4 Social Workers. A watching brief is being kept on capacity generally within this team. These numbers mean that there are 98 children reviewed twice per year, creating 196 Reviews which need to be held within timescale and with the coordine
the Team Manager, the Social Worker, schools and the families themselves. This is often challenging as dates have to be manually altered, as CWCN meetings have to take place during term time. The CWD team are holding at least 200 reviews per annum between the 4 Social Workers, not including the network meetings are held between each review.

## Assurance / Recovery Trajectory

Additional agency staff have recently been engaged in the CWD team as a mitigation to the whole workload of this team, additional administrative resourcing is also now in place.

Note -
Benchmarks are the Manx Care monthly averages for 2022/23.





NNU - Total number of Admission

Paediatrics- Total Admissions




| Reporting Date <br> Nov-23 | Performance <br> 21 | Op. Plan \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Threshold | YTD Mean <br> 8.0 | Benchmark <br> - |
| Variation Description |  |  |
| Common cause |  |  |
| Assurance Description |  |  |



## Issues / Performance Summary

- 2 babies were above 37 weeks gestation (term), unplanned admissions. - 1 baby was admitted following preterm delivery at $35+6 / 40$ requiring $s m a$ for gestational age
- $1 \times$ set of twins @ $35+3 / 40$ born in poor condition and required respiratory support (high dependency)
- All babies were admitted from the labour ward/theatre were between 19 mins and 34 mins of age.
- $1 \times$ baby were admitted with respiratory symptoms \& preterm requiring NCPAP/surfactant/monitoring antibiotic therapy/iv fluids/supplemental oxygen.
$1 \times$ baby treated with iv antibiotics for 14 days for a meningitis diagnosis - Staffing -1 WTE sickness. Nursery nurse long term sickness, no support strf. - Staffing -1WTE sickness. Nursery nurse long term sickness, no support staff taff working extra hours to fill gaps.
$2 \times$ ANNP's commenced employmen


## Planned / Mitigation Actions

-The Neonatal Unit is ready to admit any sick/preterm neonate, when capacity allows. - Regular communication between maternity and Neonatal Unit when capacity is a concern, with daily or more frequent huddles to plan/mitigate.

- Lead nurse/ANNP attending obstetric hand over most days.
- Improving communication between maternity unit and neonatal unit with ANNP performing NIPE's and liaising with NNU staff any cause for concern.
- Early communication with obstetric team regarding high risk ladies and early transfer to a tertiary unit, where possible.
- Northwest neonatal Network aware of capacity issues, offering support \& advice. - Embrace avaliable to support transfer process when necessary.

Nanaged 07.45-20.15hrs. All transfers outside these hours are managed on a case by case basis. - The Neonatal Unit nursing team take part in the on call rota to provide support at high - The Neonatal Unit nursing team take part ith the ongh this inn't consistently filled due to reduced staffing levels ( staff already doing extras as well as on calls).

Assurance / Recovery Trajectory
All neonates will be cared for with the appropriate level of care as soon as practicable, and transferred to a Level 3 center as soon as possible if required for ongoing care.

## Note -

enchmarks are the Manx Care monthly averages for 2022/23.





| Responsive Performance Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KPIID | Kpl Description | Latest Date | R.A.G. | Value | Mean | צTD | Thesthold | Variation | Asstrance | kPII | B.1. Status | Kpl Description | Latest Date | R.a.c. | Value | Mean | YTo | Threstold | Variation | Assurance |
| Re058 | Cons Led- OP Referrals | Nov-23 |  | 2857 | 2967 | 23371 |  |  |  | Re014 | - | Ambulance - Category 1 Response Time at 90th Percentile | Nov-23 |  | 18 | 19 |  | 15 mins | (1) | (F) |
| Re056 | Hospital Bed Occupancy | Nov-23 |  | 60.1\% |  |  | 92\% | (n) | (a) | RE015 | $\bigcirc$ | Ambulance - Category 1 Mean Response Time | Nov-23 |  | 8 | 10 | . | 7 mins |  | (F) |
| Re001 | RTT - No. patients waiting for first Consultant Led Outpatient appointment | Dec. 23 | $\bigcirc$ | 16,861 | 16,152 | - | <15431 | (48) | (2) | RE016 | $\bigcirc$ | Ambulance - \% patients with CVA/Stroke symptoms arriving at hospital within 60 mins of call | Nov-23 |  | 40\% | 49\% |  | 100\% | $\cdots$ | (F) |
| RE002 | RTT - No. patients waiting for Daycase procedure | Dec. 23 | $\bigcirc$ | 2,126 | 2,76 | - | <2286 | $\fallingdotseq$ | $2$ | Re034 | - | Category 2 Response Time at 90th Percentile | Nov-23 |  | 26 | 29 |  | 40 mins | (-2) | (2) |
| Re003 | RTT - No. patients waiting for Inpatient procedure | Dec. 23 |  | 432 | 513 |  | < 535 | $\uparrow$ | $2$ | Reo3s | $\bigcirc$ | Ambulance - Category 3 Response Time at 90th Percentile | Nov-23 |  | 61 | 47 |  | 120 mins | $(\infty)$ | (e) |
| RE004 | RTT - \% Urgent GP referrals seen for first appointment within 6 weeks | Nov-23 |  | 49\% | 55\% |  | 85\% | (80) | (F) | RE036 |  | Ambulance - Category 4 Response Time at 90th Percentile | Nov-23 |  | 78 | 79 |  | 180 mins |  | (P) |
| Re061 | Diagnostics\% p patients waiting 26 weeks or less | Nov-23 |  | 67\% | 61\% |  | 99\% | (80) | (f) | ${ }^{\text {Re037 }}$ | $\bigcirc$ | Ambulance - Category 5 Response Time at 90th Percentile | Nov-23 |  | 71 | 79 |  | 180 mins | (-) | (2) |
| Reoos | Diagnostics - \% requests completed within 6 weeks | Nov-23 |  | 85\% | 85\% | 85\% | - | (*) |  | ${ }^{\text {Re038 }}$ | $0$ | Ambulance crew turnaround times from arrival to clear should be no longer than 30 minutes. | Nov-23 |  | 198 | 185 |  | 0 | (n) | (F) |
| RE006 | Diagnostics $-\%$ Patients waiting over 6 weeks | Nov-23 |  | 61\% | 70\% | - | 1\% | $\text { ( }-$ | $\stackrel{F}{\rightleftharpoons}$ | Re039 | O | Ambulance crew turnaround times from arrival to clear should be no longer than 60 minutes. | Nov-23 |  | 22 | 20 | . | 0 | (8) | (F) |
| RE007 | ED \% 4 Hour Performance | Nov-23 |  | 69\% | 72\% | 2\% | 76\% (95\%) | - | (f) | Re026 | $\bigcirc$ | IPCC - \% patients seen by Community Adult Therapy Services within timescales | Nov-23 |  | 68\% | 52\% | - | 80\% | ( $+\infty$ | (F) |
| Reoos | ED \% \% H Hour Performance (Non Admitted) | Nov-23 |  | 79\% | 81\% | 81\% |  |  |  | Re031 | $\bigcirc$ | IPCC - \% of patients registered with a GP | Nov-23 |  | 4.0\% | 4.1\% |  | 5.0\% |  | ( P |
| Reoog | ED \% 4 Hour Performance (Admitted) | Nov-23 |  | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% |  |  |  | RE081 |  | IPCC - N. of GP appointments | Nov-23 |  | 20263 | 37927.5 | 303420 |  | (1) |  |
| Re010 | ED - Average Total Time in Emergency Department | Nov-23 |  | 275 | 257 | - | ins |  | (a) | Re054 |  | Did Not Attend Rate (GP Appointment) | Nov-23 | - | 2.8\% | 3\% |  |  | (r) |  |
| Re011 | ED - Average number of minutes between Arrival and Triage (Noble's) | Nov-23 |  | 35 | 26 | - | 15 mins | ) | (F) | Re027 |  | IPCC - No. patients waiting for a dentist | Nov-23 | - | 4,528 | 4,037 | - |  |  |  |
| RE012 | ED - Average number of minutes between arrival to clinical assessment - Nobles | Nov-23 |  | 80 | 68 | - | 60 mins |  |  | RE074 | $\bigcirc$ | Response by Community Nursing to Urgent / Non routine within 24 hours | Nov-23 | - | 95\% | 99\% | - |  | (8) |  |
| Re033 | ED - Average number of minutes between arrival to clinical assessment - RDCH | Nov-23 |  | 16 | 14 |  | 60 mins |  | $\stackrel{P}{\sim}$ | RE075 | $0$ | Community Nursing Service response target met (7 days)- Routine | Nov-23 |  | 100\% | 100\% | . |  | (10) |  |
| ${ }^{\text {Re013 }}$ | ED - 12 Hour Trolley Waits | Nov-23 |  | 30 | 32 | 252 | 0 |  | $\stackrel{\digamma}{\infty}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Responsive Performance Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KPIID | B.. Status | KPI Description | Latest Date | R.A.G. | value | Mean | YTD | Threshold Va | ariation Assurance | Kplid | B...status | 5 KPl Description | Latest Dats | R.A.G. | value | Mean | YTD | Threshold | Variation Assurance |
| RE025 | $\bigcirc$ | CWT - \% 28 Days to diagnosis or ruling out of cancer | Nov-23 | $0$ | 65\% | 65\% | - | 75\% | (*) ? | Re051 | $0$ | Maternity Bookings | Nov-23 | . | 60 | 991 | 439 |  | (s) |
| Re018 | $\bigcirc$ | CWT - \% patients decision to treat to first definitive treatment within 31 days | Nov-23 | $0$ | 86\% | 78\% | - | 96\% | $\Leftrightarrow \infty$ | Re052 | $0$ | Ward Attenders | Nov-23 | - | 230 | - | - |  | (s) |
| Re019 | $0$ | CWT - \% patients urgent referral for suspected cancer to first treatment within 62 davs (RTT) | Nov-23 | $0$ | 50\% | 47\% | - | 85\% | $\infty$ | Reo53 | 0 | Gestation At Booking <10 Weeks | Nov-23 | - | 45\% | 33\% | - |  | (*) |
| RE064 | ) | No. on Cancer Pathway (All) | Nov-23 | - | 611 | 692 | - | - |  | Re030 | $\bigcirc$ | W\&C - \% New Birth Visits within timescale | Nov-23 | - | 96\% | 89\% | - | . | ( $-\infty$ |
| RE065 | $\bigcirc$ | No. on Cancer Pathway (2WW) | Nov-23 | - | 507 | 588 | - | - |  | Re032 | $0$ | Births per annum | Nov-23 | - | 391 | 220 | - | - | (10) |
| RE066 | $\bigcirc$ | Cancer - Total number of patients Waiting for 1st OP | Nov-23 | - | 68 | 95 | - | - | $\infty$ | Re082 | $0$ | Meds Demand - N.patient interactions | Nov-23 | - | 2574 | 2541.75 | 20334 | - | (s) |
| RE067 | $\bigcirc$ | Cancer - Median Wait Time from the Referral Date to the Diagosis Date | Nov-23 | - | 21 | 15 | - | - | (8) | Re083 | $0$ | Meds Overnight Demand | Nov-23 | - | 552 | 293 | 2344 | - | (-1) |
| RE044 | $0$ | MH- Waiting list | Nov-23 | - | 1750 | 1652 | 9912 | - |  | Re084 | O | Meds - Face to face appointments | Nov-23 | - | 571 | 484.5 | 3876 | - |  |
| Re045 |  | MH-Appointments | Nov-23 | - | 7169 | 6493 | 51942 | - | (80) | RE086 |  | Meds - TUNA\% | Nov-23 | - | 1.1\% | 1.3\% | - | - |  |
| RE046 | $D$ | MH-Admissions | Nov-23 | - | 15 | 18 | 140 | - | (n) | Re088 | $\bigcirc$ | Meds- DNA\% | Nov-23 | - | 1.4\% | 1.7\% | - | - |  |
| Re028 | $0$ | MH - No. service users on Current Caseload | Nov-23 | $\bigcirc$ | 5,359 | 5,215 | - | 4500-5500 | (*) $(\sim$ | Re089 |  | Total Number of OP \& Dementia Beds Available | Nov-23 | - | 0 | 195 | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Reo90 | $\bigcirc$ | Total Number of OP \& Dementia Beds Occupied | Nov-23 | - | 0 | 114 | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Re092 | 0 | Total Number of LD Beds Available | Nov-23 | - | 0 | 83 | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Re093 | $0$ | Total Number of LD Beds Occupied | Nov-23 | - | 0 | 70 | - |  |  |






## Issues / Performance Summary

Planned / Mritigation Actions
Approximately $85.3 \%$ of requests completed in November were undertaken within 6 weeks. This was slightly higher than the average of $85.2 \%$ for the year so far.





Responsive Ambulance (3 of 3)
Ambulance crew turnaround times from arrival to clear
should be no longer than 30 minutes.

Manx Care have moved to the new version of the National Cancer Waiting Time Guidance (version 12.0) from October 2023
(https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2023/08/PRN00654-national-cancer-waiting-times-monitoring-dataset-guidance-v12.pdf).
The IPR data has been aligned to the new reporting guidance from last month, with the reporting of the equivalent October 2023 data. Work is continuing with the Cheshire \& Merseyside to understand future developments of the guidance and planning towards future expectations.

The new guidance has simplified the CWT reporting

- 28 day FDS - target $75 \%$ (Receipt of urgent referral for suspected cancer, receipt of urgent referral from a cancer screening programme (breast, bowel, cervical), and receipt of urgent referral of any patient with breast symptoms (where cancer not suspected), to the date the patient is informed of a diagnosis or ruling out of cancer)
- 62 day RTT - target $85 \%$ (From receipt of an urgent GP (or other referrer) referral for urgent suspected cancer or breast symptomatic referral, or urgent screening referral or consultant upgrade to First Definitive Treatment of cancer)
- 31 day DTT - target 96\% (From Decision To Treat/Earliest Clinically Appropriate Date to Treatment of cancer)

Manx Care's reporting will be aligned to this guidance.
 support the acheivement of the Faster Diagnostic Standard.

## Faster Diagnosis Standard

The aim of this target is to:

- reduce the time between referral and diagnosis of cancer
- Fifeduce anxiety for patients, who will receive a diagnosis or an 'all clear' but do not currently receive this message in a timely manner
- work alongside the delivery of the 62-day referral to treatment cancer waiting times standard, including the standard to reduce waiting times, through improved analysis and pathway improvements of faster diagnosis

The 28 day FDS gives a fuller indication of the first part of the suspected cancer pathway rather than using the 2 WW performance alone. It reflects not only the first appointment, but also that the diagnostic work has been completed and most importantly that the patient has been informed of a cancer or non-cancer diagnosis.

## Best Practice Timed Pathways

The Best Practice Timed Pathways (BPTP) are being introduced for specific tumour groups. Best practice timed pathways support the ongoing improvement effort to shorten diagnosis pathways, reduce variation, improve people's experience of care, and meet the Faster Diagnosis Standard (FDS). It will also ensure consistency between Manx Care's pathways and that of the Cancer Alliance pathways. Further work is needed to align with the BPTP pathways from the UK NHS




| Reporting Date Nov-23 | Performance 68.2\% | Op. Plan \# QC62 |  | \% seen by Community Adult Therapy Services within timescale - by category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 100.0\% |  |
| 80.0\% | 52.0\% | 54.4\% | 80.0\% |  |
| (Higher value | nts better perfo | nce) | 60.0\% |  |
| + V | Description |  | 40.0\% |  |
|  | on cause |  | 20.0\% |  |
| A | Description |  | 0.0\% |  |
| Consistently fail target |  |  |  | Urgent 1 (3 WD) Urgent 2 ( 5 WD) Soon 1 (15 WD) Soon 2 (30 WD) Routine (12 Wks) |

Threshold
$80 \%$
(Higher value represents better performance)
Variation Description

Assurance Description
Issues / Performance Summary
Community Adult Therapy:

- 100\% of Urgent 1 ( 3 working day) and $82.1 \%$ of Urgent 2 ( 5 working day)
patients were seen within the required timescales in November.
- The team hold heavy caseloads of patients with complex and changing
needs requiring regular input and reviews making it more difficult to
respond to new referrals.


## Planned / Mitigation Actions

Assurance / Recovery Trajectory mmunity Adult Therapy

- Team have reviewed triage priorities and would like to simplify these to Priority 1 (10 day response), Priority 2 ( 30 day response), Priority 3 ( 60 day response). This will reflect the service not being an urgent/rapid response service, reduce the pressure on the team to focus on the
urgent referrals and improve the response times to the other categories.
Bank OT currently supporting for approx. 26 hours a week.
- Part time OT within the team picking up additional hours as able.
- TSR requests in place for $2 \times$ B6 OT.
- 0.6 OT post currently out to advert.
- $B 5 / 6$ Rotational post out to advert - currently $4 / 5$ posts vacant with this to increase to $5 / 5$. The post has been on a rolling advert throughout the year, 1 interview to be offered following last losing date
- Team completing waiting list reviews.

Note
Benchmark for '\% patients seen by CAT' is the Manx Care monthly averages for 2022/23.



Response by Community Nursing to Urgent / Non routine within 24 h


| Reporting Date <br> Nov-23 | Performance <br> $95 \%$ | Op. Plan \# <br> QC61 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Threshold | YTD Mean <br> $99.3 \%$ | Benchmark |
| (Higher value represents better performance) |  |  |

Community Nursing Service response target met (7 Reporting Dat days) - Routine

Nov-23
Performanc $100.0 \%$

Op. Plan \#
Qc62 QC62

## Issues / Performance Summary



120\%

Threshold

YTD Mean Benchmark 80\%

(Higher value represents better performance)

## Community Nursing Service response target met (7 days) - Routine

- This response standards continues to be fully met

Response by Community Nursing to Urgent / Non routine within 24h - Performance in November was below the required $100 \%$ threshold at $95 \%$. This was due to 1 patient being seen outside of the required 24 hour timescale at 5 days.



Responsive

Maternity Bookings


Reporting Dat Nov-23

60
Op. Plan \# 70\% $\quad$ 60\% -----------------

Benchmark 991 991

Variation Description
Common cause
Assurance Description

Reporting Date Nov-23

Threshold
Ward Attenders


## Issues / Performance Summary

Planned / Mitigation Actions

Gestation<10 weeks at booking: Gestation at booking continues to be a concern with only $45.0 \%$ of booked women booking before 10 weeks. There has been an issue for the majority of 2023 with receipt of referrals from G practices. This means that women are often $>10$ weeks gestation before we can invite for a booking appointment. The service has plans to change the referral process

Booking: A total of 60 women have booked for care in November'23 (were 51 in November'22).


| Well Led (People) Performance Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KPI ID | B.I. Status | KPI Description | Latest Date | R.A.G. | Value | Mean | YTD | Threshold | Variation | Assurance |
| WP001 |  | Workforce - \% Hours lost to staff sickness absence | Nov-23 |  | 6.4\% | 6.1\% | - | 4.0\% |  | F |
| WP002 |  | Workforce - Number of staff on long term sickness | Nov-23 | - | 116 | 83 | - | - | $(\infty)$ |  |
| WP004 |  | Workforce - Number of staff leavers | Nov-23 | - | 21 | 25 | 197 | - |  |  |
| WP005 |  | Workforce - Number of staff on disciplinary measures | Nov-23 | - | 11 | 8 | 67 | - | $\infty$ |  |
| WP006 |  | Workforce - Number of suspended staff | Nov-23 | - | 5 | 3 | 21 | - | $(\infty)$ |  |
| WP013 |  | Staff 12 months turnover rate | Nov-23 |  | 7.0\% | 9.7\% | - | 10\% | $(\infty)$ | $?$ |
| WP014 |  | Training Attendance rate | Nov-23 |  | 69.0\% | 62.4\% | - | 90\% | $\text { ( } 8 \infty$ | F |
| WP007 |  | Governance - Number of Data Breaches | Nov-23 |  | 8 | 11 | 90 | 0 | $\infty$ |  |
| WP008 |  | Governance - Number of Data Subject Access Requests (DSAR) | Nov-23 | - | 64 | 57 | 453 | - |  |  |
| WP009 |  | Governance - Number of Access to Health Record Requests (AHR) | Nov-23 | - | 5 | 3 | 22 | - |  |  |
| WP010 |  | Governance - Number of Freedom of Information (FOI) Requests | Nov-23 | - | 9 | 11 | 84 | - |  |  |
| WP011 |  | Governance - Number of Enforcement Notices from the ICO | Nov-23 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |  |  |
| WP012 |  | Governance - Number of SAR, AHR and FOI's not completed within their target | Nov-23 |  | 29 | 38 | 304 | 0 | $\text { ( }+\infty$ | $\sim$ |
| WP015 |  | Number of DSAR, AHR and FOI's overdue at month end | Nov-23 |  | 40 | 39 | 309 | - | $(\infty)$ |  |


Well Led


| Well Led (Finance) Performance Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KPI ID | B.I. Status | KPI Description | Latest Date | R.A.G. | Value | Mean | YTD | Threshold | Variation | Assurance |
| WF001 |  | \% Progress towards Cost Improvement Target (CIP) | Oct-23 |  | 87\% | - | 245\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { 100\% (equiv. } \\ 1 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| WF002 | $\bigcirc$ | Total income ( $£$ ) | Oct-23 | - | -£1,517,135 | -£1,238,717 | -£9,161,532 | - | $\infty$ |  |
| WF003 |  | Total staff costs ( $£$ ) | Oct-23 | - | £17,915,353 | £16,177,273 | £123,963,922 | - | $\infty$ |  |
| WF004 | $\bigcirc$ | Total other costs ( $£$ ) | Oct-23 | - | £12,646,944 | £11,886,589 | £90,203,302 | - |  |  |
| WF005 |  | Agency staff costs (proportion \%) | Oct-23 | - | 6\% | 9.1\% | - | - | $+\infty$ |  |
| WF009 |  | Actual performance against Budget | Oct-23 |  | -3,082 | $-£ 4,401$ | -£16,812 | - |  |  |

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\square$


| Well Led | Finance (2 of 2) | Executive Lead |  |  | Jackie Lawless | Lead | Samantha Allibone |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16\% Agency staff costs (proportion \%) $\quad$ Reporting Date ${ }_{\text {Oct }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   <br> $12 \%$ Threshold |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Please see 'Total staff costs (f): 'section on the previous page. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| «110 | matasor | or．pam neesosa | ous2 | Now2 | ores2 | ${ }^{\text {m }}$ 23 | mer3 | merz | An＋23 | mpres | mens | m ${ }^{23}$ | An43 | spres | ota3 | now ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }_{\text {min }}^{\text {nes }}$ | noperamame |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sa00 | Serious midemens secarand | Cst36a | 2 | 3 | 2 | 。 | 。 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | － | 1 | 5 | s | 2 | $\cdots$ |
| sa002 |  | ${ }^{\text {sow }}$ | N／a | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／a | w／A | ${ }^{\text {saous }}$ | ${ }^{50} 5008$ | saoox | 5500x | ${ }^{1000000}$ | monoex | 10000\％ | 10000 |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Sa018 | Letter has been sent in accordance with Duty of Candou <br> Regulations | 100\％ | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | ${ }^{100.00 \%}$ | $120000 \times$ | soous | 55007 | 10000 | ${ }^{100000}$ | 12000\％ | ${ }^{1200}$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| sana | lita | 95\％ | 9．00x | $0030 \%$ | ${ }_{66,68}$ | ${ }^{2.396}$ | 9, ，35\％ | ${ }^{\text {s50．0x }}$ | ${ }^{\text {poas }}$ | ${ }^{\text {e，} 73 \%}$ | ${ }^{\text {8．0．0x }}$ | ${ }^{82309}$ | ${ }^{88396}$ | 9．00\％ | 9asom | 2250x |  |  |
| saos |  | 95x | satos | 93，3\％ | 200x | 93900\％ | 99.75 | 9rows | $9.88 \%$ | 95888 | 9 ramx | ${ }^{\text {noo．os\％}}$ | saows | stoos\％ | s．00\％ | m，oox |  |  |
| sanas | Neneremens | － | 。 | 。 | － | 。 | 。 | 。 | 。 | 。 | 。 | － | － | － | － | － | － |  |
| sa00 | Inpatient Health Service Falls（with Harm）per 1，000 occupied bed days reported on Datix | $\square$ | － | ${ }^{124}$ | － | 0.98 | ${ }^{0} 5$ | 0.58 | ${ }_{0} 0.3$ | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.45 | ${ }_{0} 0.1$ | 048 | 0.5 |  |  |
| S009 |  | （13120989 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{18}$ | ${ }^{17}$ | ${ }^{1}$ | ${ }^{13}$ | ${ }^{1}$ | ${ }^{13}$ | ${ }^{15}$ | ${ }^{13}$ | 19 | ${ }^{24}$ | 2 | ${ }^{16}$ | 11 | ${ }^{17}$ | ${ }^{14}$ | ， |
| saor |  | －30pa | 1 | 2 | － | 2 | 3 | 2 | ． | ． | 。 | 。 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| saose | Mssa－Total umberofascurie ditactions | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 。 | － | － | － | － | 1 |  |
| sa099 |  | ＜rpa | － | 5 | － | 5 | － | 。 | 5 | － | 6 | 10 | － | ， | － | 11 | ${ }_{6}$ | $\checkmark$ |
| sano | No．corimed casesof fresesilals sp | ． | 2 | 3 | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | － | － | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | － | 2 | 12 |  |
| saor |  | － | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | 1 | － | － | 3 | ， |
| soor |  | ${ }^{258}$ | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | 3 | － |
| Sa013 |  | ${ }^{\text {95\％}}$ | ${ }_{\text {s，ax }}$ | s．0\％ | 9．53 | 975＊ | 935\％ | 9．9\％ | \％．58 | 9，ax | s．0x | 975\％ | 96，${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 970\％ | 977 | 97.08 |  | $\square$ |
| S0014 |  | ${ }^{\text {95\％}}$ | 10000\％ | 1000\％ | 10000 | 10000 | 12000\％ | 100060 | 1000\％ | 100．08 | 100060 | 120006 | mo00\％ | ${ }^{1000 \%}$ | ${ }^{\text {890\％}}$ | 2000x |  |  |
| sa015 |  | 958 | ${ }^{866 \%}$ | 1200\％ | s．5x | som\％ | 52\％ | 900\％ | ${ }^{238}$ | ${ }^{\text {mas }}$ | ${ }^{5528}$ | ${ }_{9628}$ | 2000x | s，0\％ | mo006 | 2000x |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Sa016 | Hand figeiene compliane | 958 | 9．70\％ | 9.08 | s．0\％ | $970 \%$ | $970 \%$ | 2208 | 980\％ | \％．0\％ | 9．0\％ | $970 \%$ | 970\％ | $970 \%$ | 990\％ | 970\％ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| saor |  | 988 | now | mass | 7，000． | rom | ssoor | s．ox | som | rowx | now | momx | r．ax | ${ }^{\text {som }}$ | $880 \times$ | ${ }_{\text {sam }}$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| E06\％ |  | 5\％ | N／A | n／a | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | 8，7\％ | ${ }^{122 \%}$ | ${ }^{1028}$ | 9，4 | 110\％ |  | $\square$ |
| Erom | Planned Care－DNA Rate（Consultant Led outpatient appointments） | 5\％ | ${ }^{1118}$ | 206\％ | 9．4\％ | 9，\％ | 79\％ | 120\％ | 11．\％ | ${ }^{11.1 \%}$ | 10．as | ${ }^{\text {n9\％}}$ | ${ }^{1988}$ | 1．5\％ | ${ }^{12 \%}$ | ${ }^{1336}$ |  | $\cdots$ |
|  |  |  | ${ }^{62 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {sax }}$ | 5．9\％ | ${ }_{42 \%}$ | ${ }^{488}$ | 60\％ | 2.85 | ${ }^{2.18}$ | 488\％ | 5.18 | ${ }^{82 \%}$ | ${ }_{608}$ | sas8 | ${ }_{688}$ |  | ） |
|  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {89\％}}$ | ${ }^{10.48}$ | 9．8\％ | ${ }^{1006}$ | 998 | ${ }^{10 \%}$ | ${ }^{\text {113\％}}$ | 95\％ | ${ }^{\text {20，18 }}$ | 0．0\％ | ${ }^{1148}$ | ${ }^{102 \%}$ | 100\％ | ${ }_{98} 8$ |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| ${ }_{\text {froz }}$ |  |  | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }^{303}$ | ${ }^{357}$ | ${ }^{42}$ | ${ }^{317}$ | ${ }^{386}$ | ${ }^{236}$ | ${ }^{34}$ | ${ }^{28}$ | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }^{268}$ | ${ }^{3 n}$ | ${ }^{39}$ | ${ }^{34}$ | ${ }^{2555}$ | $\sim$ |
|  | Hospitacesealeded |  | ${ }^{198}$ | ${ }^{111}$ | ${ }^{234}$ | ${ }^{280}$ | ${ }^{179}$ | ${ }^{29}$ | ${ }^{109}$ | ${ }^{196}$ | ${ }^{138}$ | ${ }^{200}$ | ${ }^{100}$ | ${ }^{23}$ | ${ }^{23}$ | ${ }^{156}$ | ${ }^{1001}$ | ， |
|  | Paisert taneleded |  | ${ }^{145}$ | ${ }^{13}$ | ${ }^{123}$ | ${ }^{19}$ | ${ }^{13}$ | ${ }^{16}$ | ${ }^{127}$ | ${ }^{148}$ | ${ }^{146}$ | ${ }^{13}$ | ${ }^{128}$ | ${ }^{148}$ | ${ }^{128}$ | ${ }^{19}$ | ${ }^{1159}$ | $\cdots$ |
| tros |  | － | ${ }^{68}$ | 9 | ${ }^{18}$ | 19 | ${ }^{25}$ | ${ }^{8}$ | ${ }^{112}$ | ${ }^{121}$ | ${ }^{11}$ | ${ }^{100}$ | ${ }^{103}$ | ${ }^{105}$ | $\stackrel{4}{ }$ | ${ }^{81}$ | ${ }^{87}$ | － |
|  |  | － | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |  | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  | － | ${ }^{46}$ | ${ }^{46}$ | ${ }^{33}$ | 51 | 50 | ${ }^{41}$ | ${ }^{38}$ | ${ }^{130}$ | ${ }^{38}$ | 31 | ${ }^{36}$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{4}$ | ${ }^{3}$ |  | 人 |
|  | Tountumberoftesarase | ． | 99 | ${ }^{102}$ | ${ }^{1021}$ | 91 | ${ }^{366}$ | ${ }^{1008}$ | ${ }^{907}$ | s\％ | ${ }^{906}$ | ${ }^{95}$ | 109 | ${ }^{98}$ | 9 | ${ }^{103}$ | ${ }^{4767}$ | ， |
| ${ }^{\text {Erso }}$ |  |  | ${ }^{926}$ | ${ }^{966}$ | ${ }^{7}$ | ${ }^{95}$ | ${ }^{826}$ | ${ }_{96}$ | ${ }^{882}$ | ${ }^{224}$ | ${ }^{36}$ | ${ }^{96}$ | ${ }^{968}$ | ${ }^{9}$ | ${ }^{98}$ | ${ }_{98}$ | ${ }^{4586}$ | $\wedge$ |
| ${ }_{\text {tros }}$ |  |  | ${ }^{23}$ | ${ }^{36}$ | ${ }^{4}$ | ${ }^{32}$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{32}$ | ${ }^{25}$ | ${ }_{6}$ | ${ }^{40}$ | ${ }^{39}$ | ${ }^{4}$ | 34 | ${ }^{4}$ | ${ }^{38}$ | ${ }^{181}$ |  |


|  | kP10 | Indicator | OP. Plan Thresthold | octe22 | Nov-22 | Decz22 | Jan.23 | Feb-23 | Mar23 | Apr23 | Mav23 | Jun-23 | J11.23 | Aug $23^{23}$ | Sep-23 | Oti23 | Nov-23 | ${ }_{\text {2032-24 }}^{\substack{\text { 210 }}}$ | vid Periommance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }^{\text {EF003 }}$ | Theatres - Number of Cancelled Operations on Day |  | 38 | 50 | ${ }^{38}$ | ${ }^{81}$ | ${ }^{39}$ | ${ }^{48}$ | ${ }^{36}$ | ${ }^{40}$ | 28 | 51 | 27 | ${ }^{33}$ | 46 | ${ }^{31}$ | 292 |  |
|  |  | Theatres - Number of Cancelled Operations on Day - Clinica |  | 10 | 11 | 9 | 14 | 10 | 19 | 12 | 14 | 16 | 7 | 8 | 14 | 16 | ${ }^{13}$ | 100 |  |
|  |  | Theatres - Number of Cancelled Operations on Day - Non clinical - Patient |  | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 14 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 6 | 57 |  |
|  |  | Theatres - Number of Cancelled Operations on Day - Non clinical Hospital |  | 26 | 35 | 25 | ${ }^{63}$ | ${ }^{24}$ | 18 | 19 | ${ }^{20}$ | 7 | ${ }^{30}$ | 14 | 13 | 20 | ${ }^{12}$ | 135 |  |
|  | EF004 | Theatres - Theatre Utilisation \% | 85\% | 68.1\% | ${ }^{69} 8$ | 76.3\% | 72.1\% | 82.5\% | 75.8\% | 73.3\% | 76.2\% | 67.\%\% | 79.7\% | 82.4\% | 80.6\% | 79.\%\% | 76.2\% |  |  |
|  | Ef006 | Crude Moratity Rate |  | 17.37 | 32.72 | 29.28 | 22.48 | 20.23 | 24.24 | 16.4 | 15.37 | 12.75 | 15.25 | 19.63 | 18.81 | 24.68 | 0 |  |  |
|  | EF007 | Total Hospital Deaths |  | 19 | ${ }^{38}$ | 32 | 21 | 23 | 27 | 18 | 18 | 13 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 30 | 27 | 169 |  |
|  | EFO24 | Mortality - Hospitals LFD (Learning from Death reviews) | 80.00\% | 23\% | 24\% | 36\% | 54\% | 92\% | 94\% | 93\% | 93\% | 98\% | 98\% | 98\% | 97\% | 97\% | 99\% |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{\text {Efoos }}$ | West Wellbeing Contribution to reduction in ED attendance | $10 \%$ per 12 months | 7.3\% | 0.0\% | 8.9\% | -12.7\% | 7.3\% | 25.3\% | 6.7\% | 5.8\% | -6.4\% | 24.9\% | 14.\% | 7.1\% | 6.6\% | 6.2\% |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{\text {¢foo9 }}$ | West Wellbeing Reduction in admission to hospital from locality | 5\% per 12 months | 20.4\% | 8.3\% | 17.5\% | 22.\% | -6.4\% | 89.\% | -10. | -1.8\% | -25.3\% | -25.6\% | -1.8\% | -14.3\% | 1.6\% | 66.7\% | 0 |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {Efol1 }}$ | MH - Average Length of Stay (LOS) in MH Acute Inpatient Service (Discharged) |  | 59 | ${ }^{26}$ | 66 | 64 | 72 | ${ }^{26}$ | ${ }^{30}$ | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }^{83}$ | ${ }^{21}$ | 51 | 20 | 8 | ${ }^{39}$ |  | , |
|  | ${ }^{\text {EF013 }}$ | MH - \% service users discharged from MH inpatient to have follow up appointment | 90\% | 91.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 94.0\% | 94.0\% | 100.0\% | 00.0\% | 100.0\% | 90.5\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |  |  |
| W | ${ }^{\text {EFF64 }}$ | Number of patients with a length of stay - <br> 0 days (Mental Health) | . | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0 | ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {EF065 }}$ | MH - Number of patients aged 18-64 with a length of stay ->60 days | . | N/A | N/A | N/A | 5 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 14 |  |
|  | ${ }^{\text {EF066 }}$ | MH - Number of patients aged 65+ with a length of stay - 90 days | . | N/A | N/A | N/A | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 |  |
|  | ${ }^{\text {EF047 }}$ | \% Patients admitted to physical health wards requiring a Mental Health assessment, seen within 24 hour | 75\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 10\%\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{\text {EF048 }}$ | \% Patients with a first episode of psychosis treated with a NICE weeks of referral | 75\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 100\% | 100\% | 50\% | 100\% | 100\% | 50\% | 100\% | . |  | \%\% |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{\text {EF026 }}$ | Crisis Team one hour response to referral from ED | 75\% | ${ }^{91 \%}$ | ${ }^{88 \%}$ | 87\% | 100\% | 75\% | ${ }^{91 \%}$ | 94\% | 94\% | 100\% | 96\% | 84\% | ${ }^{90 \%}$ | 77\% | 90\% |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {EF015 }}$ | ASC \% \% of Re-referals | <15\% | 9.6\% | 8.6\% | 11.3\% | 12.4\% | 4.6\% | 1.3\% | 3.9\% | 8\% | 1.7\% | 4.5\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 12\% |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {FF063 }}$ | ASC - No. of referals |  | 83 | 81 | 80 | ${ }^{89}$ | 65 | 77 | 76 | 78 | 59 | 66 | 86 | ${ }^{68}$ | ${ }^{91}$ | ${ }^{74}$ | 598 |  |
|  | ${ }^{\text {EFO16 }}$ | ASC - \% of all Adult Community Care Assessments completed in Agreed Timescales | 80\% | 66\% | 77\% | 68\% | 55\% | 33\% | 27\% | 39\% | ${ }^{399}$ | 29\% | ${ }^{12 \%}$ | 27\% | 23\% | 40\% | 30\% |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{\text {EF017 }}$ | ASC - \% of individuals (or carers) receiving a copy of their Adult Community Care Assessment | 100\% | 13\% | 21\% | 13\% | ${ }^{19 \%}$ | 0\% | 27\% | 22\% | 48\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 96\% | 100\% | 96\% |  |  |


|  | kश10 | Inicicator | op. Plen Thischiold | 00t22 | Nov22 | Dece 22 | ${ }^{\text {ann } 23}$ | reb 23 | Mar 23 | Aprr23 | Mav23 | Jun23 | ${ }^{\text {Ju123 }}$ | Avg 23 | Sep 23 | 0at23 | Nov23 |  | rop Pefromance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢019 | CFSC - \% Complex Needs Reviews held on time | 85\% | 48.4\% | 32.0\% | 625\% | 62.5\% | 35.7\% | 75.0\% | 100.0\% | 75.0\% | 65.5\% | 54.6\% | 50.\% | 48.0\% | 56.0 | 43.5\% |  |  |
|  | Efo21 | CFSC - \% Total Initial Child Protection Conferences held on time | 90\% | 100.0\% | 87.5\% | 100.\% | 50.\% | 50.0\% | 100.\% | 100.\% | 100.0\% | 33.3\% | 80.\% | 1.4\% | 80.\% | 76.9\% | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{\text {EFO22 }}$ | CFSC - \% Child Protection Reviews held on time | 90\% | 53.9\% | 87.5\% | 71.4\% | 66.7\% | 35.7\% | 7.3\% | ${ }^{88 . \%}$ | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | ${ }^{88.9 \%}$ | 95.\%\% | 95.7\% | 80.0\% | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{\text {Ef023 }}$ | CFSC - \% Looked After Children reviews held on time | 90\% | 100.0\% | 93.3\% | 92.3\% | 94.7\% | 100.0\% | 83.3\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 20.5\% | 90.0\% | 88.0\% | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | Efoas | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C\&F-Number of referrals - Children \& } \\ & \text { Families } \end{aligned}$ |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 116 | 172 | 144 | ${ }^{133}$ | ${ }^{121}$ | 168 | ${ }^{191}$ | 199 | 1194 |  |
|  | Efoa4 | C\&F -Children (of age) participating in, or contributing to, their Child Protection review | 90\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 93.0\% | 100.\% | 100.\% | 100.\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | Efo45 | C\&F -Children (of age) participating in, or ontributing to, their Looked After Child review | 90\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 100.\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.\% | 100.\% | 93.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {Ero46 }}$ | C\&F-Children (of age) participating in, or contributing to, their Complex Review | 79\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 36.0\% | 34.0\% | 420\% | 41.0\% | 100.\% | 36.0\% | 35.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {efo25 }}$ | Nutrition and Hydration - complete at 7 <br> days (Acute Hospitals and Mental Health) | 95\% | 74\% | 83\% | 84\% | 77\% | 89\% | 96\% | 97\% | 96\% | 99\% | 99\% | 97\% | 92\% | 96\% | 95\% |  |  |
|  | ¢f010 | \% Dental contractors on target to meet UDA's | 96\% | 47\% | 72\% | 75\% | 75\% | 75\% | 72\% | 3\% | 10\% | 17\% | 25\% | 35\% | 38\% | 46\% | 53\% |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{\text {fe068 }}$ | Pharmacy - Total Prescriptions (No. of fees) |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ${ }^{\text {f131,397 }}$ | £140,744 | f139,132 | ${ }^{\text {f136,305 }}$ | £13,200 | f15,757 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {f84,335 }}$ |  |
|  | Efog | Pharmacy - Caragale Perscripitions |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | E16,509 | f19,236 | ${ }_{\text {118,377 }}$ | ¢17,909 | E17,36 | E22,055 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {f11, } 142}$ |  |
|  | E070 | Pharmacy- Total Exempt tem |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | f129,099 | ${ }^{\text {¢139,125 }}$ | f137,291 | ¢134,466 | £134,885 | f155,68 |  |  | \&830,924 |  |
|  | efor1 | Pharmacy-Chargeable tems |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | n/a | N/A | N/A | ${ }_{\text {f16,410 }}$ | f19,108 | ${ }_{\text {f13,266 }}$ | ¢17,909 | f17,224 | ${ }_{\text {f21,924 }}$ |  |  | f110,841 |  |
|  | Efor | Pharmay- Net cost |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ${ }_{\text {f1, } 1,61,186}$ | f1,48,094 | f1,456,788 | $\mathrm{fl}, 422,861^{\text {che }}$ | f1,001,18 | f1, 643,309 |  |  | \&8,71,956 |  |
|  | Ep073 | Pharmacy-Charges Collected |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ${ }_{\text {66, }}^{686}$ | f73,816 | ¢70,832 | 668,92 | ${ }_{\text {666,30 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {E84,646 }}$ |  |  | E428,042 |  |
|  | ${ }^{\text {E030 }}$ | Caesarean Deliveries (not Robson Classified) |  | 36\% | 28\% | ${ }^{34 \%}$ | 38\% | 26\% | ${ }^{21 \%}$ | 39\% | 43\% | 32\% | 46\% | ${ }^{61 \%}$ | ${ }^{41 \%}$ | 35\% | 43\% |  |  |
|  | EF031 | Induction of tabur | <30\% | 48\% | 43\% | 26\% | 27\% | 36\% | 34\% | 29\% | 36\% | 11\% | 33\% | 44\% | 30\% | 25\% | 40\% |  |  |
|  | EF032 | 3rd/4th Degree Tear Overal Rate | <3.5\% | 2\% | 2\% | \%\% | 5\% | 0\% | \%\% | 0\% | \%\% | 1\% | 0\% | \% | 1\% | 2\% | 0\% |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {EFO33 }}$ | Obstericic heemorrnge 1.51 | <2.6\% | 2\% | 3\% | \%\% | 2\% | \% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | \%\% | 1\% | 1\% | \%\% | 2\% | \%\% |  |  |
|  | ¢f034 | Unplamned Tem Admisions ${ }^{\text {To nNu }}$ |  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | 88\% | ${ }^{88 \%}$ | 100\% | 10\%\% | 73\% | 40\% |  |  |
|  | E0035 | Stillirith Number/Rate |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
|  | ¢f036 | Unplanned Admission To ITU - Level 3 Care |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {EF037 }}$ | \%smoking At Booking |  | 10\% | 10\% | 8\% | 7\% | 9\% | 9\% | 15\% | 11\% | 8\% | 6\% | 4\% | 4\% | 7\% | 12\% |  |  |
|  | E038 | \% Of Women Smoking At Time of feiliver | <18\% | 10\% | 7\% | 5\% | 7\% | \%\% | 11\% | 14\% | 6\% | 5\% | \%\% | 10\% | 14\% | 3\% | 12\% |  |  |
|  | Efo39 | Fistr feed Breast Milk (lnitiation Rate) | >80\% | 79\% | 66\% | ${ }^{87 \%}$ | 67\% | ${ }^{83 \%}$ | 70\% | 76\% | ${ }^{63 \%}$ | 73\% | 56\% | ${ }^{71 \%}$ | 69\% | 76\% | ${ }^{71 \%}$ |  |  |
|  | EF9a0 | Breast feeding Rate At Transter Home |  | 76\% | 59\% | 84\% | 41\% | 36\% | 34\% | 37\% | 29\% | 31\% | 32\% | 30\% | 72\% | 69\% | 76\% |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{\text {froad }}$ | Neonatal Moratilir rat/1000 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | ${ }^{\text {fros9 }}$ | wac- Paediatrics- Total Admisisions |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 119 | ${ }^{131}$ | ${ }^{117}$ | ${ }^{133}$ | 162 | 197 | ${ }^{859}$ |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {EF060 }}$ | Wac- - NNU - Totala number of Admisions |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 7 | ${ }^{11}$ | 5 | 55 |  |
|  | ${ }^{\text {efo61 }}$ | Wac- - NNU - Avg. lengt of ftay |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 8.5 | ${ }^{3.4}$ | 5.0 | ${ }^{3.4}$ | ${ }^{6.5}$ | ${ }^{21.2}$ |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {E062 }}$ | wac-Community follow up |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 4 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 33 |  |



|  | KPl1] | Indictior | op. Plan Thesshold | Oct22 | Nov-22 | Sce22 | 1an23 | feb-2 | Mar23 | Apr23 | Mav23 | unz | Ju123 | ${ }^{\text {Aug } 23}$ | Sep 23 | octz3 | Nov23 |  | YTD Pefromance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Re07 | A\&E - \% of ED attendances where the Service User was admitted, transferred or discharged within 4 hours of their arrival at ED (Nobles and RDCH) | 76\% | 69.\% | 67.3\% | 67.7\% | 68.6\% | 70.1\% | 71.\% | 70.3\% | 73.9\% | 75.7\% | 71.5\% | 72.1\% | 68.7\% | 71.\% | 69.5\% |  |  |
|  |  | A\&E-4 Hour Performance-Nobles |  | N/A | 55.\% | 53.1\% | 55.4\% | 58.5\% | 59.6\% | 61.7\% | 64.5\% | 66.5\% | 61.1\% | 60.8\% | 57.\% | 60.6\% | 58.7\% |  | $\xrightarrow{-}$ |
|  |  | A8E-4 Hour Performance - RDCH |  | N/A | 99.8\% | 99.2\% | 98.9\% | 99.6\% | 99.8\% | 99.9\% | 100.0\% | 99.6\% | 100.0\% | 99.9\% | 100.0\% | 99.9\% | 100.1\% |  |  |
|  | Reoos | A\&E - 4 Hour Performance (Non Admitted) | 95\% | 78.\% | 7.2\% | 78.5\% | 79.6\% | 79.6\% | 80.\%\% | 79.6\% | 82.1\% | 84.0\% | 80.6\% | 82.9\% | 78.3\% | 80.4\% | ${ }^{99.3}$ |  | - |
|  | Reoos | AQE-4 Hour Performance (Admittea) | 95\% | 27.0\% | 24.9\% | 20.1\% | 21.2\% | 21.4\% | 22.5\% | 25.3\% | 29.0\% | 29.4\% | 23.2\% | 16.8\% | 16.9\% | 22.8\% | 22.6\% |  | , |
|  |  | AsE-Admission Rate |  | 17.6\% | 18.8\% | 18.4\% | 18.9\% | 16.1\% | 16.3\% | 16.1\% | 15.2\% | 15.3\% | 15.7\% | 16.3\% | 16.3\% | 16.4\% | 17.4\% |  | - |
|  | Re0072 | ARE-Admision Rate - Nobles |  | 23.9\% | 25.7\% | 27.0\% | 27.2\% | 22.6\% | 23.5\% | 21.3\% | 20.8\% | 21.2\% | 21.5\% | 22.9\% | 21.9\% | 22.3\% | 23.5\% |  | , |
|  |  | ARE-Admission Rate - ROCH |  | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | . |
|  | Re010 | A\&E - Average Total Time in Emergency Department | 360 mins | 253 | 272 | 301 | 295 | 269 | 254 | 246 | 227 | 220 | 257 | 267 | 298 | 268 | 275 |  |  |
|  | Re011 | A\&E - Average number of minutes between Arrival and Triage (Noble's) | 15 mins | 25 | ${ }^{24}$ | ${ }^{27}$ | 25 | ${ }^{27}$ | 26 | 25 | 24 | ${ }^{21}$ | ${ }^{26}$ | 22 | ${ }^{29}$ | 28 | ${ }^{35}$ |  | $\square$ |
|  | Re012 | Average number of minutes between arrival to clinical assessment-Nobles | 60 mins | 77 | 77 | 70 | 74 | 72 | 62 | 69 | 63 | 56 | 74 | 63 | 67 | 72 | 80 |  | $\cdots$ - |
|  | Re93 | ED - Average number of minutes between arrival to clinical assessment-Ramsey | 60 mins | ${ }^{22}$ | ${ }^{20}$ | ${ }^{31}$ | ${ }^{28}$ | ${ }^{38}$ | 22 | 14 | 12 | 19 | ${ }^{13}$ | 14 | 12 | 12 | 16 |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
|  | Re013 | A\&E - Patients Waiting Over 12 Hours From Decision to Admit to Admission to a Ward (12 Hour Trolley Waits) | 0 | 2 | 15 | 54 | 56 | 27 | 13 | 6 | 5 | 12 | 36 | 48 | 67 | ${ }^{48}$ | 30 | 252 |  |
|  | Re0131 | Number of patients exceeding 12 hours in Nobles Emergency Department | 0 | 44 | 71 | 142 | ${ }_{134}$ | 93 | 56 | 45 | ${ }^{22}$ | ${ }_{47}$ | 104 | 115 | 191 | 127 | 114 | 765 |  |
|  | Re8\% | ED- Emergency Care Time (Average Number of minutes between arrival and referral to speciality OR discharge) | 180 min | 182 | 184 | 181 | 181 | 176 | 177 | 177 | 175 | 161 | 178 | 168 | 182 | 179 | 181 |  | , |
|  | Re014 | Ambulance - Category 1 Response Time at 9oth Percentile | 15 mins | 20 | 19 | ${ }^{23}$ | ${ }^{20}$ | 15 | 28 | 20 | 17 | 19 | 23 | 19 | 17 | 20 | 18 |  | $\xrightarrow{\sim}$ |
|  | RE141 | Total Number of Emergency Calls |  | 1090 | 1036 | 1209 | 1100 | 1025 | 1109 | 1059 | 1035 | 1105 | 1131 | 1130 | 1134 | 1118 | 1099 | 8811 | $\xrightarrow{\square}$ |
|  | RE142 | Number of Categor 1 Calls |  | 35 | 34 | 50 | 37 | 32 | 33 | 25 | 46 | ${ }^{43}$ | 41 | 38 | 46 | 24 | 28 | 291 |  |
|  | Re015 | Ambulance - Category 1 Mean Response Time | 7 mins | 12 | , | 10 | 10 | 8 | 12 | 11 | 8 | , | 11 | , | , | 11 | 8 |  | — |
|  | Re016 | Ambulance - \% patients with CVA/Stroke symptoms a <br> mins of call | 100\% | 50.0\% | 40.9\% | 16.7\% | 34.6\% | 15.4\% | 36.4\% | 47.1\% | 50.0\% | 63.6\% | 32.0\% | 56.3\% | 58.3\% | 46.2\% | 40.0\% |  |  |
|  |  | Categor 2 Mean Response Time | 18 mins | N/A | N/A | N/A | 13 | 12 | 16 | 14 | 16 | 13 | 13 | 11 | 16 | 12 | 13 |  | - |
|  | Re034 | Category 2 Response Time at 90th Percentile | 40 mins | 28 | 28 | 31 | 28 | 26 | 36 | 31 | 38 | 29 | 27 | 25 | 33 | 24 | 26 |  |  |
|  |  | Categor 3 Mean Response Time | Monitor | N/A | N/A | N/A | 15 | 16 | 22 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 24 | 17 | 20 | 22 | 24 |  | $\xrightarrow{\sim}$ |
|  | Re035 | Category 3 Response Time at 90th Percentile | 120 | 36 | 39 | 58 | 32 | 32 | 57 | 42 | 51 | 39 | 53 | 37 | 47 | 48 | 61 |  |  |
|  |  | Category 4 Mean Response Time | Monitor | N/A | N/A | N/A | 22 | 19 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 20 | 37 | 26 | 44 | 33 | 36 |  | $\cdots$ |
|  | Re036 | Category 4 Response Time at 90th Percentile | 180 mins | 64 | 79 | 105 | 53 | 41 | 54 | 76 | 82 | 63 | 74 | 56 | 121 | 84 | 78 |  | $\sim /$ |
|  |  | Categor 5 Mean Response Time | Monitor | N/A | N/A | N/A | 33 | 31 | 42 | 40 | 36 | 31 | 35 | 32 | 35 | 33 | 30 |  | - |
|  |  | Category 5 Response Time at 90th Percentile | 180 mins | 80 | 93 | 95 | 80 | 80 | 98 | 91 | ${ }^{9}$ | 72 | ${ }^{83}$ | 72 | 81 | 72 | 71 |  |  |
|  |  | Ambulance crew turnaround times from arrival to clear should be no longer than 30 minutes | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 219 | 169 | 142 | 154 | 161 | 181 | 166 | 189 | 240 | 191 | 198 | 1480 |  |
|  |  | Ambulance crew turnaround times from arrival to clear should be no longer than 60 minutes. | 0 | 17 | 23 | 48 | 34 | 13 | 8 | 13 | 10 | 17 | 12 | 28 | 31 | 24 | 22 | 157 | $\Sigma$ |
|  | Re043 | OpEL level 4 ( Days) |  | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 12 |  |
|  | RE082 | Meds Demand - N.patient interactions |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 3111 | 2872 | 2295 | 2664 | 2281 | 2211 | 2326 | 2574 | 20334 | - |
|  | Re83 | Meds Overight demand |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 354 | 317 | 224 | 275 | 197 | 95 | 230 | 552 | 2344 | $\xrightarrow{\square}$ |
|  | Re84 | Meds - Face to face appointments |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 609 | 474 | 360 | 574 | 471 | 398 | 419 | 571 | 3876 | $\xrightarrow{\sim}$ |
|  | Re086 | Meds - TunA\% |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 1.1\% | 1.1\% | 0.6\% | 1.0\% | 2.8\% | 1.5\% | 1.9\% | 1.1\% |  | $\longrightarrow$ |
|  | Re88 | Meds- DNA\% |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 1.1\% | 1.5\% | 3.3\% | 0.5\% | 2.3\% | 1.5\% | 2.1\% | 1.9\% |  | $\sim$ - |


|  | kello | Indicator | Op. Plan Thestorld | oat22 | Nov22 | Decz22 | 1an23 | ${ }_{\text {reb } 23}$ | Marz3 | Appr23 | Mav23 | Jun23 | ${ }^{10123}$ | Aug 23 | Sep 23 | Oat23 | Now23 | ${ }_{\text {20323 }}^{\text {T10 }}$ | rio Pefrommance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Re0171 | Referrals received for all suspected cancers |  | 416 | 439 | 308 | 385 | ${ }^{418}$ | 416 | 368 | 455 | ${ }^{445}$ | 375 | 455 | ${ }^{422}$ | 487 | ${ }^{423}$ | 3430 |  |
|  | Re018 | CWT - \% patients decision to treat to first definitive treatment within 31 days | 96\% | 86.4\% | 76.6\% | 82.0\% | 76.\% | 78.6\% | 87.3\% | 76.0\% | 73.5\% | 82.4\% | ${ }^{80.0 \%}$ | ${ }^{83.8 \%}$ | ${ }^{73 . \%}$ | 71.2\% | 86.4\% |  |  |
|  | Re019 | CWT - Maximum 62 days from referral for suspected cancer to first treatment | 85\% | 33.3\% | 42.1\% | 42,4\% | 31.\%\% | 34.3\% | 62.2 | 21.1\% | 50.\% | 5.0\% | \% | 63.\% | 46.4\% | \% | 50.\% |  |  |
|  | Re22 | CWT - Maximum 28 days from referral for suspected cancer (via 2WW or Cancer Screening) to date of diagnosis | 75\% | 62.6\% | 68.3\% | 67.5\% | 55.8\% | 66.2\% | 60.3\% | 67.4\% | 63.7\% | 58.0\% | 57.3\% | 68.4\% | 65.3\% | 75.3\% | 64.\% |  |  |
|  | Re657 | All Referrals received for all suspected cancers |  | 515 | ${ }_{53}$ | ${ }^{39}$ | 483 | ${ }^{489}$ | 502 | ${ }^{434}$ | 537 | 514 | 460 | 558 | 502 | 599 | 501 | ${ }^{4105}$ |  |
|  | Re26 | IPCC - \% patients seen by Community Adult Therapy Services within timescales | 80\% | 57.\%\% | 56.\% | 75.5 | 65.5\% | 53.7\% | 59.8 | 60.9\% | ${ }^{421 \%}$ | 56.0 | 44.0\% | 40.6\% | 38.5\% | ${ }^{62.1 \%}$ | 68.2\% |  |  |
|  |  | \%Urgent 1 -seen within 3 working days | 80\% | 64.0\% | 55.2\% | 82.6\% | 78.6\% | 36.7\% | 74.2\% | 69.8\% | 50.\% | ${ }^{71.58}$ | 65.6\% | 54.1\% | 42.4\% | 50.\%\% | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  |  | \% Urgent 2 -seen within 5 working days | 80\% | 58.3\% | 61.5\% | 76.2\% | 77.2\% | 68.9\% | 61.8\% | 73.\% | 54.0\% | 67.7\% | 39.3\% | 50.0\% | $52.2 \%$ | 69.8\% | ${ }^{82.1 \%}$ |  |  |
|  |  | \%Soon 1 - seen within 15 working days | 80\% | 48.8\% | 54.6\% | 78.4\% | 47,7 | 26.7\% | 4.9\% | 38.7\% | 21.7\% | 23.9\% | 32.6\% | 3.6\% | 16.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |
|  |  | \%Soon 2 - seen within 30 workting days | 80\% | 33.3\% | \% | 40.4\% | 38.5\% | 9.1\% | ${ }^{38.5}$ | 70.0\% | 0.\%\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 51.9 | 69.5\% | 70.5\% |  |  |
|  |  | \% Routine. Seen within 12 weeks | 80\% | ${ }^{68.4}$ | 80.\% | 69.\% | 46.2\% | ${ }^{625 \%}$ | 40.0\% | 70.\% | 87.5\% | 79.\% | 50.0\% | 34.8\% | ${ }^{42.9 \%}$ | 66.7\% | 56.0\% |  |  |



|  | x910 | madetar | Pan Thestodid | oat22 | Now22 | Doce22 | ${ }^{\text {anc23 }}$ | reb23 | merz 3 | A0，23 | mev23 | Mn23 | ${ }^{m} 13$ | Ang 23 | Spor 3 | Ota3 | Nor23 | ${ }_{2023}^{208}$ | nto periomane |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Re90 |  |  | 860\％ | 919 | 885\％ | 99.48 | ${ }^{8.7 \%}$ | 90．5\％ | $9.0 \%$ | ${ }^{8.7 \%}$ | 8．0\％ | 830\％ | ${ }^{89.4}$ | ${ }^{843}$ | 90.48 | ${ }^{962 \%}$ |  |  |
|  | R6032 | Birts peramum |  | 339 | 330 | 428 | 488 | 535 | 588 | 54 | 103 | 14 | 19 | 23 | 23 | 348 | ${ }^{39}$ |  |  |
|  | ReSt | Materitr fookings |  | ${ }_{5}^{56}$ | 51 | ${ }^{43}$ | ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }^{61}$ | 57 | 48 | ${ }^{73}$ | ${ }^{48}$ | ${ }^{48}$ | 5 | 51 | ${ }_{5}^{56}$ | ${ }^{60}$ | ${ }^{439}$ | $\cdots$ |
|  | R0092 | Wardatendes |  | 9 | 92 | 9 | 110 | 126 | 196 | 196 | 159 | ${ }^{146}$ | 270 | ${ }^{24}$ | 4 | ${ }^{309}$ | ${ }^{230}$ | 1598 | ， |
|  | Rross | Sestation At oooting cow weets |  | 0．0\％ | 45．1\％ | 209\％ | ${ }_{8.6 \%}$ | 33936 | 26，3\％ | 3396\％ | 219\％ | 208\％ | 29.28 | 309\％ | $332 \%$ | 33\％ | 45，0\％ |  | － |
|  | R0056 | Adult General and Acute（G\＆A）bed occupancy | （92\％\％ | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | 60.18 | 66.28 | ${ }_{61.6 \%}$ | ${ }^{6328}$ | $683 \%$ |  |  |
|  | Re6as | ASC－\％otall | ${ }^{\text {85\％－10\％}}$ | so\％ | ${ }^{1 \%}$ | ${ }_{69}$ | ${ }_{88 \%}$ | ${ }^{\text {asx }}$ | ${ }_{898}$ | ${ }_{83} 8$ | ${ }^{33 \%}$ | ${ }^{7 \%}$ | ${ }_{69}^{60}$ | ${ }_{685}$ | ${ }_{525}$ | ${ }_{59 \%}$ | ox |  | $\longrightarrow$ |
|  | Re\％0 | Respete bedecocupancy | $\pm 00 \%$ | ${ }^{718}$ | ${ }_{\text {sox }}$ | ${ }^{\text {79\％}}$ | ${ }_{96 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {s1\％}}$ | ${ }^{\text {79\％}}$ | ${ }_{928}$ | ${ }^{80 \%}$ | 69\％ | 70\％ | ${ }^{\text {81\％}}$ | ${ }_{658}$ | ${ }_{\text {ses }}$ | \％\％ |  | $\xrightarrow{3}$ |
|  |  | Toutanumbero feevicu vers |  | ${ }^{238}$ | 207 | 207 | 232 | 204 | 262 | 250 | 250 | ${ }^{212}$ | ${ }^{13}$ | ${ }^{13}$ | 162 | ${ }^{181}$ | 。 |  | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | Refos |  | $9500 \%$ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | ${ }^{\text {95\％}}$ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | $100 \%$ | ${ }^{100 \%}$ | ox |  |  |
|  | wpoor |  | 4．0\％ | 6．6\％ | ${ }_{6.88}$ | 7．7\％ | ${ }^{7} 98$ | ${ }_{6.48}$ | 7．5\％ | 59\％ | ${ }_{5} 52$ | 5．5\％ | 6．0\％ | ${ }_{6.6 \%}$ | ${ }_{60 \%}$ | 7．0\％ | ${ }^{64 \%}$ |  | ， |
|  | wooz | Numberof staffon orostem sichess |  | ${ }^{78}$ | 6 | ${ }^{83}$ | ＂ | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }^{83}$ | 65 | 82 | 69 | 9 | $\stackrel{9}{4}$ | 82 | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }^{116}$ |  | $\rightarrow$ |
|  | wpoas | Numberoftatifevers |  | ${ }^{24}$ | 22 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 19 | 22 | 22 | ${ }^{24}$ | 22 | 34 | 34 | 19 | ${ }^{21}$ | 197 | $\square$ |
|  | wpos | Number of staf of disisininay mesures |  | 6 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 11 | ${ }^{10}$ | 6 | 11 | 6 | $\longrightarrow$ |
|  | Wpoos | Numberof tspeneses stat |  | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 1 | ． | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 2 |  |
|  | wroor | Number of Data Breaches <br> Reported to ICO | 。 | N／A | ${ }_{11}$ | ${ }_{12}$ | ${ }_{13}^{13}$ | ${ }_{13}^{13}$ | ${ }_{21}^{22}$ | 8 | ${ }_{13}^{13}$ | ${ }_{13}^{13}$ | 13 | ${ }_{11}$ | ${ }_{11}^{12}$ | ${ }_{4}^{46}$ | $\stackrel{8}{4}$ | $\stackrel{9}{7}$ | － |
|  | wou1 | Number of Enforcement Notices from the ICO <br> ICO | － | － | 。 | 。 | 。 | 。 | 。 | － | 。 | 。 | 。 | 。 | 。 | － | － | － | $\cdots$ |
|  | wou12 | Number of DSAR，AHR and FOI＇s not completed within their target | 。 | ， | ${ }^{11}$ | ${ }^{19}$ | 4 | 1 | 4 | ${ }^{32}$ | ${ }^{39}$ | ${ }^{76}$ | ${ }^{27}$ | ${ }^{39}$ | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }^{29}$ | ${ }^{29}$ | ${ }^{304}$ |  |
|  | weo13 | Staft 12 monts sumover ate | 10\％ | N／A | N／A | ${ }^{11.48}$ | ${ }^{112 \%}$ | ${ }^{11.46}$ | 113\％ | no\％ | 1096\％ | 104\％ | 100\％ | 9．4\％ | 9，7\％ | 9．48 | 7，0\％ |  |  |
|  | wous | Number of DSAR，AHR and FOI＇s overdue <br> at month end |  | － | $\bigcirc$ | 4 | 1 | 5 | ${ }^{14}$ | 4 | ${ }_{5}$ | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }^{41}$ | ${ }^{4}$ | ${ }^{24}$ | ${ }^{31}$ | ${ }^{40}$ | ${ }^{39}$ | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ | ${ }^{11}$ | ${ }^{23}$ | 5 | 6 | ${ }^{18}$ | ${ }^{76}$ | ${ }^{94}$ | ${ }^{109}$ | ${ }^{68}$ | so | ${ }^{57}$ | ${ }^{60}$ | ${ }^{69}$ | ${ }^{613}$ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | wrou | ¢ Propeses sumats cost | 1．5\％ | 80．0\％ | 860\％ | 1163\％ | 1260\％ | 170．0\％ | 170．0\％ | N／A | N／A | ${ }^{222 \%}$ | 26．7\％ | ${ }^{333 \%}$ | ${ }^{76.0 \%}$ | ${ }^{86,7 \%}$ |  |  |  |
|  | wrooz | Totatineme（f） |  | f1，189，50， 3 | －41， $1,69000.12$ | － $41,1,0,786,72$ | ft， 124,7 ， 5.07 | Et，1592，26120 | － $42,138,829.00$ | － $1,288,366.95$ |  | f1，36，5058．62 | til20，62980 | ti， 1256,10657 | －1，309，28330 | ${ }_{\text {－1，51，1，36．68 }}$ |  | te， 16.5152 | 3 |
|  | Wroos | Totelsaf cost $(t)$ |  |  |  |  |  | ¢11，668，29．49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |
|  | Wwoos |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{822 \%}^{812.60,78.15}$ | ${ }_{6}^{61,9063,39.00}$ | ${ }_{178 \%}^{1233,62123}$ |  | ${ }_{6}^{612372728.61}$ | ${ }_{6.23 \%}^{61,56,12.200}$ |  | ${ }_{488}^{48,02,2,6,42}$ |  |  | 90， $20,3,302$ | $\sim$ |
|  | WFoor |  |  | N／A | E26，96．0 | E26，65．0 | E317，65， | E88，66．0 | E26，72．0 | E26，990， | E288350 | E27，910 | 29，599，0 | E80，100， | ${ }_{\text {E28，84，}}$ | E29，30．0 |  |  | $\square$ |
|  | wroos | busedet（E00） |  | N／A | E23，57．0 | E23，51．0 | E23，57．0 | 23，571．0 | E23，52．0 | E25，2850 | E55，28．0 | E55284．0 | 255，28．0 | E80，689．0 | E25，98．0 | E25，98．0 |  |  | $\cdots$ |
|  | wroos |  |  | N／a | t3，25， | E2，93，0 | ¢8，990， | t4，5950 | ¢3，57，0 | E1，301．0 | ¢3，87， | E2，663．0 | E4，2610 | tsaso | 42866．0 | E，9，020 |  |  | $\cdots$ |

