

The Castletown Housing Land Review: Site Assessment Report Template

Cabinet Office

November 2016

Site Reference Number:	
Site Name:	

Note: This Site Assessment Report sets out the consideration of a site submitted in response to the Castletown Housing Land Review. It should be read in conjunction with the relevant Call for Sites Response Form submitted by the site promoter (hereafter 'CfS Response Form').

Summary

S1	Status of assessment:	
	O Internal Draft	
	O Draft for Review by	Cabinet Office
	O Draft for Review by	Site Promoter
	Final	
	Date of This Version of Assessment:	
	Name/Job Title/Organisation of Assessor:	
Note: .	See CfS Response Form Q.	1-5 for details of Landowner/agent/developer and Q7 for Site Address.
	Outcome for Stage 1	
	Outcome for Stage 2	
	Outcome for Consideration for Stage 3	


Section A - Site Details and Planning History


A1	Has i. A Location Plan and ii. A Site Plan been submitted which clearly identify the site with an unbroken red line? Yes No
A1.1	Please attach a copy of the site boundary used to carry out this assessment
A2	Site Size (ha):
Note: 5	See CfS Response Form Q10 for site promoter's stance on site size
A3	Location of site:
A4	Current designation and use:
Note: S	See CfS Response Form Q8 and Q9 for site promoter's stance on current land use and designation
A5	Proposed use:

Note: See CfS Response Form Q12 - 15 for site promoter's detail on proposal



CASTLETOWN HOUSING LAND REVIEW Individual Sites Site Ref. 10





46	Was the site considered, in any way, as part of the Area Plan for the South? Yes
	O No
47	If the site was considered as part of the Area Plan for the South, what was the outcome?
48	Planning History
10	
<i>Note:</i>	See CfS Response Form Q11 for site promoter's stance on planning history
49	Are there any relevant planning applications to take into account?
	O Yes
	○ No
410	Relevant planning applications

B1 Is the proposed site located within the Study Area Identified on Map CR1? Yes No Note: See CfS Response Form Q6 for site promoter's stance on this question. B2 Will this site progress to a Stage 2 Assessment? Yes No Note: If the answer to QB1 is 'Yes' proceed to Section C. If the answer to QB2 is 'No', there should be no further consideration of the site at this stage. The site shall not progress to a Stage 2 Assessment unless individual circumstances dictate that the site should undergo a fuller assessment. **B3** Please provide comments in relation to response to question B2

Section B: Stage 1

Section C: Site Visit C1 Has a site visit been undertaken? Yes No C2 State who undertook site visit and date C3 State key observations from site visit Note: Observations may relate to matters such as: the accuracy of the submission information; issues relevant for the Stage 2 Scoring; issues relevant for assessing the deliverability of the site; and/or points of detail which may be relevant for a site brief (in the event that the site is taken forward). C3.1 Please attach site visit photo 1 C3.2 Please attach site visit photo 2 C3.3 Please attach site visit photo 3

C3.4

Please attach site visit photo 4

Section D: Stage 2 - Scoring

D1.1	Criterion 1: Selecting the most appropriate locations to minimise the need to travel and protect the countryside
	O 4
	O 3
	O 2
	O 1

Score 4	Site is within the identified settlement of Castletown
Score 3	Site is outside the identified settlement of Castletown but is previously developed land
Score 2	Site is greenfield land and adjoins the outer boundary of the identified settlement of Castletown
Score 1	Site is outside the identified settlement of Castletown in the open countryside or would encourage the merging of settlements
Score 0 (Critical Constraint)	Not applicable

Note: Settlement Boundary is as shown on Map 5 of the Area Plan for the South

D2.1	Criterion 2: Selecting sites which are compatible with adjacent land uses ('compatibility' can be defined as two or more uses existing without conflict) <i>If the site scores 0, a Critical Constraint applies</i>
	O 4
	O 3
	O 0

Score 4	Score 4 - Existing uses on surrounding land are generally able to operate in close proximity to the residential uses proposed (uses are compatible)
Score 3	Score 3 - Existing uses on surrounding land can only operate in close proximity to the residential uses proposed where effects are mitigated (uses could be compatible but only when mitigation measures are undertaken - such mitigation measure must be achievable).
Score 2	Not applicable
Score 1	Not applicable
Score 0 (Critical Constraint)	Existing uses on surrounding land cannot operate in close proximity to the residential uses proposed (uses are incompatible and cannot be made compatible by mitigation measures)

D2.2	Comments in relation to Criterio	711 2		

D.	3.1 Criterion	3: Prioritising sites that are vacant and do not need	substantial physical works
	O 4		
	O 3		
	O 2		
	O 1		
	<u> </u>		
]
	Score 4	Previously developed land (vacant) and would not require substantial physical works	
	Score 3	Previously developed land but would require substantial physical works	
	Score 2	Greenfield land and would not require substantial physical works	
	Score 1	Greenfield land and would require substantial physical works	
	Score 0 (Critical Constraint)	Not applicable	
			I
N	lote: Physical wor	ks include: site clearance (excluding demolition), int	ernal road construction, creation or
in	mprovement of sit	te access, drainage/sewerage works, other utility and	
la	andscaping.		
h	azardous materiai	al works include: site clearance (including demolition of (either improvement of or mitigation for), ground s construction, large scale site access/junction works/b	tabilisation, piling, large scale cut and fill
Τ.			
		nvolve the removal of internal or outer field boundar), the extent of and implications of such works, will l	
D:	3.2 Comments	in relation to Criterion 3	

D4.1	Criterion 4: Maximising access to community services and facilities
	O 4
	O 3
	O 2
	O 1

Score 4	Site is located within 1 km walking distance of 4 or 5 of the services/facilities listed above and is within 1 km of a school bus route
Score 3	Site is located within 1 km walking distance of 2 or 3 of the services/facilities listed above
Score 2	Site is located within 1 km walking distance of 1 of the services/facilities listed above
Score 1	Site is more than 1 km walking distance from all of the services/facilities listed above
Score 0 (Critical Constraint)	Not applicable

Community services and facilities are, for this exercise taken to include: a school, a shop, a GP surgery/health centre, a public park/outdoor sports facilities, indoor sports facilities, a community centre/hall.

D5	1 Criterion 5: E	incouraging the use of public transport
	O 4	
	O 3	
	O 2	
	O 1	
_		
s	core 4	The site is within 200m of a bus route with a peak time service every 30 minutes
s	core 3	The site is within 400m of a bus route with a

Score 4	The site is within 200m of a bus route with a peak time service every 30 minutes
Score 3	The site is within 400m of a bus route with a peak time service every 30 minutes
Score 2	The site is within 400m of a bus route with an at least hourly peak time service
Score 1	None of the above apply
Score 0 (Critical Constraint)	Not applicable

Note: Potential of site to have an internal bus route on completion of development or a new bus stop added to the existing highway network close to the site will be addressed as part of any Assessment Report

2	Comments in relation to Criterion 5

0	4	
0	3	
0	1	
Score 4	Nature and location of site: will not require a new access to a Primary or District Link; and will not result in a significant increase in the volume (or nature) of vehide traffic movements on Local or Local Access Roads.	
Score 3	Nature and location of site: • will not require a new access to a Primary or District Link outside existing settlement boundaries; and • will not result in a significant increase in the volume (or nature) of vehicle traffic movements on Local or Local Access Roads.	
Score 2	Nature and location of site: would require a new access to a Primary or District Link outside existing settlement boundaries; or will result in volume/nature of vehicle traffic movements on Local or Local Access Roads that would be inappropriate.	
Score 1	Site is not located on the existing road network and would require a significant access route (relative to the scale of the proposal) to be constructed to link to the existing road network	
Score 0 (Critical Constraint)	Not applicable	
	tial of site to have an internal bus route on completion of way network close to the site will be addressed as part of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
06.2 Comr	ments in relation to Criterion 6	

Criterion 6: Ensuring sites are accessible via the existing road network

D6.1

D7.1	Criterion 7:	Ensuring there is sufficient provision of open space	ce
	O 4		
	O 3		
	O 2		
	O 1		
Sco	re 4	Development would not result in the loss of open space in an area well served	
Sco	re 3	Development would not result in the loss of open space in an area currently deficient	
		Development would result in the loss of open	
Sco	re 2	space in an area that is currently well-served	
Sco	re 1	Development would result in the loss of open space in an area that is currently deficient	
	0 (O:WI		
	re 0 (Critical straint)	Not applicable	
Open	Space - For the	purposes of this exercise shall be taken to be	
i. Land	d laid out as a t	oublic garden or amenity space or used for the pu	rposes of public recreation. Can include
playin	g space for spo	rting use (pitches, greens, courts, athletics tracks	and miscellaneous sites such as training
	in the ownershi public).	ip or control of public bodies including the Depart	ment or Education where facilities are open
ii Aro	as which are w	ithin the private, industrial or commercial sectors t	that serve the leisure time needs for outdoor
		of their members or the public.	that serve the leisure time needs for outdoor
,	, , , , , , , ,		
		drens' playspace which may contain a range of fac opportunities for outdoor play, as well as informal	
Onan	Snaca doos not	t include: Verges, woodlands, the seashore, Natur	a Conservation Areas allotments golf
-	•	for recreation, commercial entertainment complex	
57.0			
D7.2	Comments in	relation to Criterion 7	

D8.1	Criterion 8: Maintaining Landscape Character (taking into account the Landscape Character Assessment 2008) <i>If the site scores 0, a Critical Constraint applies</i>				
	O 4				
	O 3				
	O 0				

Score 4	Development of the site would fit with the scale, landform and pattern of the landscape
Score 3	Development of the site would not fit the scale, landform and pattern of the landscape, resulting in the partial loss of one or more key features
Score 2	Not applicable
Score 1	Not applicable
Score 0 (Critical Constraint)	Development would not fit the scale, landform and pattern of the landscape, resulting in the total loss of or major alteration to one or more key features

D9.1	Criterion 9: Protecting Visual Amenity
	O 4
	O 3
	O 2
	O 1

Score 4	Development would have no adverse impact on visual amenity as viewed from adjacent land uses such as residential areas, public footpaths or recreational areas
Score 3	Development would have limited impact on visual amenity as viewed from adjacent land uses such as residential areas, public footpaths or recreational areas but could be mitigated through design and layout
Score 2	Development would have an impact on visual amenity as viewed from adjacent land uses such as residential areas, public footpaths or recreational areas and could not be easily mitigated through design and layout
Score 1	Development would have a significant impact on visual amenity as viewed from adjacent land uses such as residential areas, public footpaths or recreational areas
Score 0 (Critical Constraint)	Not applicable

D10.1 Criterion 10: <i>applies</i>	: Protecting valued wildlife habitats and species 2	f the site scores 0, a Critical Constraint
Score 4	Site and adjoining area is unlikely to have any nature conservation interest	
Score 3	Site and adjacent area are identified or recognised as having potential for nature conservation value but have not been designated as such	
Score 2	Site and adjacent area are identified as having nature conservation value and has a nonstatutory designation attached to it e.g. a Wildlife Site or AEI (Area of Ecological Interest)	
Score 1	Not applicable	
Score 0 (Critical Constraint)	Site or adjacent area is a nationally or internationally designated site (see list below)	
Reserves), Emerald Sig Trees or is vital for the	s of Special Scientific Interest), MNR (Marine Naturite, Bird Sanctuary or ASP (Areas of Special Protected protection of a species relation to Criterion 10	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

D11.1	Criterion 11: Maintaining the historic built environment <i>If the site scores 0, a Critical Constraint applies</i>
	O 4
	O 3
	O 2
	O 0
	0 0

Score 4	Development of site will have no adverse effect on a Registered Building and its setting or a Conservation Area
Score 3	Development of site likely to have a minor effect on a Registered Building and its setting or a Conservation Area
Score 2	Development of site likely to have a moderate effect on a Registered Building or its setting or a Conservation Area
Score 1	Not applicable
Score 0 (Critical Constraint)	Development of site likely to have a major effect on a Registered Building and its setting or a Conservation Area

011.2	Comments in relation to Criterion 11				

D12.1	Criterion 12: Protecting archaeology and Ancient Monuments protected under the MMNT Act 1959 <i>site scores 0, a Critical Constraint applies</i>	If the
	O 4	
	O 3	
	O 2	
	O 0	

Score 4	There are no Ancient Monuments on site and there is unlikely to be any archaeological interest
Score 3	There is some potential for archaeological interest on the site although there is no recorded evidence of 'finds' on the site or in the general area
Score 2	There is potential for archaeological interest on the site and there is some evidence of past 'finds' on the site or in the general area
Score 1	Not applicable
Score 0 (Critical Constraint)	The site is a recognised site of archaeological importance and/or Ancient Monument(s) are present on site

D12.2	Comments in relation to Criterion 12					

D13.1	Criterion 13: Protecting high quality agricultural land (publication ref: Agricultural soils of the Isle of Man, Centre for Manx Studies, 2001)
	O 4
	○ 3
	O 2
	O 1

Score 4	Non-agricultural land with limited agricultural value
Score 3	Soil in the area supports low levels of crop production/agricultural use/soil quality falls into Classes 4 and 5
Score 2	Soil in the area supports moderate levels of crop production/agricultural use/soil quality falls into Class 3
Score 1	Soil in the area supports high levels of crop production/agricultural use/soil quality is Class 1 and 2
Score 0 (Critical Constraint)	Not applicable

D13.2	Comments in relation to Criterion 13					

D14.1 **Criterion 14:** Minimising the risk of flooding *If the site scores 0, a Critical Constraint applies*

D15.1	Criterion 15: Hazardous land uses	If the site scores 0, a Critical Constraint applies
	O 4	
	O 3	
	O 2	
	O 0	

Score 4	Site and surrounding land is unlikely to be hazardous or contaminated
Score 3	Site and surrounding land was previously hazardous or contaminated but has been successfully and fully remediated
Score 2	Site and surrounding land was previously hazardous or contaminated but has not been fully remediated
Score 1	Not applicable
Score 0 (Critical Constraint)	Site is hazardous/contaminated or has potential to be hazardous/contaminated

D15.2	Comments in relation to Criterion 15				

Section E: Consideration of whether or not the site is Developable

Developable sites are those which are potentially acceptable in planning terms and where there is a reasonable prospect that, at the point envisaged, they will be available (i.e. landowner willingness and no competing land uses) and could be viably developed (having regard to issues such as the cost and practicality of access, services and other infrastructure). Deliverable sites are Developable sites that could be brought forward in the short-term (sites with planning approval will normally be considered to be Deliverable).

It is acknowledged that there are a number of issues which relate to whether a site is developable. Steps 1 and 2 (in relation to Critical Constraints) will inform whether a site is potentially acceptable in planning terms. The scoring of Step 2 (where not a Critical Constraint) considers relative merits of sites which are potentially acceptable in planning terms. This section is therefore intended to add the remaining two aspects of whether a site is developable – whether they are available within the plan period (i.e. by 2026) and could be viably developed.

E1	Availability (Land Use): Are there any existing land uses which are unlikely to cease within the Strategic Plan period (i.e by 2026)?
	O Yes
	O No
E2	Comments on availability
Note: S	See CfS Response Form Q24 for site promoter's stance on availability
E3	Availability (Ownership): Are there any concerns in relation to shared or adjacent land ownership?
	Yes
	O No
E4	If there are ownership issues, please give details and consideration of whether they could be resolved

Note: See CfS Response Form Q16 - 23 for site promoter's stance on ownership issues

E5	infrastructure/services? Are these achievalue	-			ended
		Required	Not Required	Achievable	Not Achievable
	Telecommunications				
	Gas				
	Electricity				
	Water				
	Highways				
	Drainage				
E6	Please provide comments in relation to i	nfrastructure	and services		

Note: See CfS Response Form Q27 - 30 for site promoter's stance on infrastructure issues

E7	Is further advice required from any Government Department/Statutory Board or private service provide		service providers?		
		Required	Not required	Response sought	Response Received
	DOI Highways	Required		Sought	Received
	DOI Other				
	DED Inward Investment				
	DEFA Planning & Building Control				
	DEFA Biodiversity				
	DEFA Other				
	MNH				
	Manx Gas				
	Manx Utilities				
	Communications Providers				
	Others (please clarify in E8)				
E8	Summarise key questions or advice rece	eived			
E8.1	Please attach copy of advice received				
E8.2	Please attach copy of advice received				
E8.3	Please attach copy of advice received				
E8.4	Please attach copy of advice received				

Section F: Consideration for Stage 3 - Shortlisting

F1	Total Score from Stage 2 (Criteria 1 - 15)		
F2	Does the Site have 1 or more Critical Constraints?	Vaa	No
	Criterion 2 (Adjacent Land Use)	Yes	No
	Criterion 8 (Landscape)		
	Criterion 10 (Wildlife)		
	Criterion 11 (Historic Environment)		
	Criterion 12 (Archaeology)		
	Criterion 14 (Flood Risk)		
	Criterion 15 (Hazardous Land Uses)		
	cal Constraints are identified, site will not proceed au s will be completed for sites which have no Critical Co		Assessment Report).
F4	Is the site developable within the Strategic Plan per Yes	iod (i.e. by 2026)?	
	O No		
F5	Comments on whether the site is developable		

Note: The answer to question F4 should be informed by the questions on ownership, availability and infrastructure. See CfS Response Form Q25 - 26 for site promoter's stance on deliverability issues.

F6	If the site is not developable within the Strategic Plan period (i.e. by 2026) should it be considered as a reserve site?
	○ Yes
	○ No
F7	Comments on site as potential reserve site
being	Sites will not be allocated if they are considered to be undevelopable. Where there are doubts about a site (or becoming) deliverable during the plan period (i.e. by 2026) it may be considered for allocation as a egic Reserve' Site.
F8	Could the site proceed to Stage 3?
	○ Yes
	○ No
F9	Explanation of outcome of Consideration of Site for progressing to stage 3
5 40	
F10	In the event that the site progresses to stage 3 and is shortlisted, are there any issues relating to the design or whether the site could be developed which should be highlighted (for example for inclusion within a site brief)?

Section G: Other observations/points

G1	Are there any other observations/points to be recorded?
	O Yes
	O No
G2	Summarise further observations/points
G2.1	Please attach copy of any additional material
62.2	
G2.2	Please attach copy of any additional material
C2 2	
G2.3	Please attach copy of any additional material
CO 4	
G2.4	Please attach copy of any additional material

Section H: Provision of Draft Assessment to Site Promoter

	Has the site promoter been sent a copy of the draft assessment (sections A - F) for comment?
	○ Yes ○ No
	Summarise comments from site promoter (if no comments or no response state accordingly)
1	Please attach copy of response from site promoter
	Have changes been made to the assessment as a result of comments from the site promoter
	Yes
	○ No
	Summarise changes (if no changes state accordingly)